

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,  
COIMBATORE.**

**Present: Tmt. G. VIJAYA, B.A., M.L., PGDCFS,  
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, COIMBATORE**

**Wednesday, this the 11<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026**

**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION No.871/2026**

Murugan (Age 25 years)  
S/o. Aanghan.

... Petitioner / Accused

/vs/

State, Rep. by  
through the Inspector of Police,  
**Vadavalli Police Station,**  
**Crime No.58/2026.**

**Offences:u/s.115(2), 118(1), 296(b), 351(3), 77 and 78 of BNS and Sec.4 of  
TNPHW Act.**

Through the Public Prosecutor, Coimbatore.

... Respondent

(The Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Coimbatore.)

This petition filed on behalf of the petitioner to release him on bail u/s.483  
of BNSS.

This petition is coming on this day for final hearing before this Court in the  
presence of **Thiru. R. Manivel**, Advocate for the Petitioner/ Accused and **Thiru.  
V. Ravichandran, Public Prosecutor**, represented for State, upon perusing the  
petition submitted and upon hearing both side arguments, this Court pronounced  
the following:-

**ORDER**

**Heard both side.**

The petitioner is the accused in Crime No.58/2026 of Vadavalli Police  
Station, for the alleged offence u/s.115(2), 118(1), 296(b), 351(3), 77 and 78 of  
BNS and Sec.4 of TNPHW Act, he has filed this petition, seeking grant of bail.

2. Already two bail petitions filed by the petitioner were dismissed by this Court and this is the third bail petition.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are neighbours. There arose frequent dispute between the parties. While so, on 31-01-2025, the defacto complainant's family and other people attacked the petitioner and due to which, the petitioner sustained injuries and he was admitted in the hospital. The learned counsel has further submitted that no such occurrence had taken place and the defacto complainant lodged a false complaint against the petitioner stating false allegations. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case and he never committed any offence as alleged and he is ready to abide any condition and he is in judicial custody for the past 39 days and prays to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

4. Opposing to grant bail to the petitioner, the learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are neighbours and they are residing in line house. On the date of occurrence, the defacto complainant was taking bath and at that time, the defacto complainant seen that the petitioner was capturing her private act in his mobile through opening of the roof top by lighting the asbestos sheet of the bathroom. Immediately the defacto complainant took the mobile phone. After knowing the same, the defacto complainant's house questioned him and at that time, the petitioner attacked the defacto complainant's father using wooden log for non-returning the phone. In this case, victim sustained simple injury and was treated as outpatient. No previous case is reported against the petitioner. After arrest, the respondent police seized the mobile phone from the petitioner. Investigation is still pending.

5. After considering the submissions, considering the nature of offence, having regard to the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, as the petitioner has no other criminal case, petitioner's mobile phone has been seized by the respondent police, victim has been treated as outpatient, **this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to stringent conditions:-**

(i) The petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Coimbatore.

(ii) The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

**(iii) The petitioner is directed to sign before the Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Coimbatore, daily twice i.e., daily at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., for a period of 30 days.**

(iv) The petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(v) The petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(vi) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate / Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate / Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji /vs/ State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**.

(vii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

This order is dictated to steno-typist, typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me on this 11<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,  
COIMBATORE.

**CC to :**

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Coimbatore.
2. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Coimbatore.
3. The Public Prosecutor, Coimbatore.
4. The Inspector of Police, Vadavalli Police Station,
5. Counsel for the Petitioner / Accused.