

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
COIMBATORE.**

**Present: Tmt. G. VIJAYA, B.A., M.L., PGDCFS,
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, COIMBATORE**

Tuesday, this the 10th day of March, 2026

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION No.802/2026

U. Satheeshwaran (Age 24 years)
S/o. Udhayanathan.

... Petitioner / Accused

/vs/

State, Rep. by
through the Inspector of Police,
Kuniyamuthur Police Station,
Crime No.30/2026.

Offences:u/s.309(4), 311 of BNS.

Through the Public Prosecutor, Coimbatore.
(The Judicial Magistrate Court No.7, Coimbatore.)

... Respondent

This petition filed on behalf of the petitioner to release him on bail u/s.483 of BNSS.

This petition is coming on this day for final hearing before this Court in the presence of **Thiru. V. Jayakumar**, Advocate for the Petitioner/ Accused and **Thiru. V. Ravichandran, Public Prosecutor**, represented for State, upon perusing the petition submitted and upon hearing both side arguments, this Court pronounced the following:-

ORDER

Heard both side.

The petitioner is the accused in Crime No.30/2026 of Kuniyamuthur Police Station, for the alleged offence u/s.309(4), 311 of BNS, he has filed this petition, seeking grant of bail.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that no such occurrence had taken place as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner is having previous

case and due to which, the respondent police called the petitioner for enquiry and thereafter, the petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody. In this case, both the petitioner and the defacto complainant are strangers and the petitioner has been falsely roped in this case as well as in other case. The petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case and he never indulged in any such activities as alleged and he is ready to abide any condition and he is in judicial custody for the past 43 days and prays to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

3. The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that, the defacto complainant is an employee of a private company and he is working as CNC Operator. On the date of occurrence, the defacto complainant was on the way to his native place, the accused came in a black colour bullet and drove the same as if hitting the defacto complainant and assaulted the defacto complainant on his back side and due to which, the defacto complainant fallen down and the accused robbed a sum of Rs.3,200/- from the defacto complainant and at that time, the defacto complainant raised alarm and the accused threatened him with dire consequences by showing knife and flew away from that place. **Except the present case, the petitioner is involved in three other cases, in which one case is registered for the offence u/s.302 of IPC.** The learned Public Prosecutor has further submitted that the petitioner is involved in another case in Crime No.29/2026. In that case, one Haarish Santhan is the complainant and he lodged complaint stating that he along with friend Bharanitharan who is the owner of the vehicle bearing Reg.No.TN 36 BS 2121 went to a room in which, the present accused and others stayed and stopped their vehicle and had taken another vehicle and went to Maruthamalai. After coming return to the room, they found that their bullet was not there and they enquired with other roommates about the vehicle and came to know that the present petitioner had taken the said vehicle and went for some other work and thereafter, till the evening hours, the petitioner has not returned the vehicle to them and they came back to their room. On the next day, they found the petitioner herein was standing with the vehicle at bus stop and they demanded the petitioner to return back the vehicle and at that time, the petitioner demanded amount from them and since both Harish Santhan and Bharanitharan has no money, the petitioner herein threatened them and had taken away the bike. Therefore, the case in Crime No.29/2026 has been registered against the petitioner. Thereafter, by using the above said vehicle, the petitioner has committed the present offence. Investigation is still pending and opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. After considering the submissions, considering the nature of offence, though the petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 43 days, considering the number of case pending against him and having regard to the aspect that one case is registered for the offence u/s.302 of IPC, as investigation is still pending, this

Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Hence, this petition is dismissed.

This order is dictated to steno-typist, typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me on this 10th day of March, 2026.

PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
COIMBATORE.

CC to :

1. The Judicial Magistrate Court No.7, Coimbatore.
2. The Public Prosecutor, Coimbatore.
3. The Inspector of Police, Kuniyamuhur Police Station,
4. Counsel for the Petitioner / Accused.