

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,  
COIMBATORE.**

**Present: Tmt. G. VIJAYA, B.A., M.L., PGDCFS,  
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, COIMBATORE.**

**Wednesday, this the 11<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026**

**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION No.772/2026**

S. Syed Idris (Age 26 years),  
S/o. Syed Sadiq,

... Petitioner/Accused.

/ vs /

State, Rep. by  
through the Inspector of Police,  
**Karumbukkadai Police Station,**  
**Crime No. Not Known.**

**Offences: u/s.81, 318(3), 351(2) of BNS and 4 of TNPHW Act.**

Through the Public Prosecutor, Coimbatore. ... Respondent/Complainant  
(The Judicial Magistrate Court No.7, Coimbatore.)

This petition has been filed through **e-Filing** on behalf of the petitioner to release him on anticipatory bail u/s.482 of BNSS.

This petition is coming on this day for final hearing before this Court in the presence of **Thiru. I. Mohamed Mustafa**, Advocate for the Petitioner / Accused and **Thiru. V. Ravichandran, Public Prosecutor**, represented for State, upon perusing the petition submitted and upon hearing both side arguments, this Court pronounced the following:-

**ORDER**

**Heard both side.**

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail for the alleged offences u/s.81, 318(3), 351(2) of BNS and 4 of TNPHW Act.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence and he is having permanent resident and he will cooperate for the investigation and he will not abscond or tamper the prosecution witnesses and prays for grant of anticipatory bail to him.

3. The learned Public Prosecutor has submitted that as of now, CSR enquiry is pending. The defacto complainant and the petitioner are known each other through Instagram and they developed their relationship and the petitioner given assurance to marry the defacto complainant and they had physical relationship. While so, the petitioner refused to marry the defacto complainant and cheated her. When the defacto complainant requested to marry her, he threatened her. Hence the complaint.

4. Heard. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner had sexual intercourse with the defacto complainant on promise to marry her and later he refused to marry her and cheated her and threatened her with dire consequences. There is no previous case is attributed against the petitioner. The prosecution contends that investigation is in prime stage and opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Considering the submission, the nature of offence, nature of allegation and stage of the investigation, **this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner at this stage.**

**Hence this petition is dismissed.**

This order is dictated to steno-typist, typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me on this 11<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026 .

PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,  
COIMBATORE.

**CC to ( sent via email):**

1. The Judicial Magistrate Court No.7, Coimbatore.
2. The Public Prosecutor, Coimbatore.
3. The Inspector of Police, Karumbukkadai Police Station.
4. Counsel for the Petitioner/Accused Person.