

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT MUNSIF, JAYANKONDAM.
ARIYALUR DISTRICT**

Present: Tmt.S.Dhanalakshmi, B.A., M.L.,
Principal District Munsif,
Jayankondam.

On Thursday, the 06th day of August 2026.

Original Suit No.25/2021
(CNR.No.TNAL05-000042-2021)

Apoorvathammal

... Plaintiff

//Versus//

1. Saminathan

2. Sudha

... Defendants

This suit came upon for final hearing before me on 01.08.2026 in the presence of Thiru.R.Sureshkumar, Advocate for Plaintiff and the Thiru.TRS.Chandramohan, Advocate for Defendants and written statement not filed defendants called absent set exparte and upon hearing Plaintiff side arguments and upon perusing the plaint and case records and having stood over till this day for consideration and this Court delivered the following:-

//JUDGMENT//

1. The suit is filed for the Permanent Injunction.

2. The brief averments of the plaint is as follows:-

The suit property is a property assigned by the Government to the plaintiff under a Bhoomidhana Land assignment patta dated 21.03.1970. Under the terms of the said assignment, the plaintiff has no right to alienate, sell or otherwise encumber the suit property, and is also prohibited from leasing out the same. The plaintiff has another son by name Kannaiyan and a daughter by name Rasathi, apart from the 1st defendant. Since the 1st defendant has neglected and failed to maintain the plaintiff, the plaintiff has been residing under the care and support of his other son, Kannaiyan. In the above circumstances, the 1st defendant, without

the knowledge of the plaintiff, is alleged to have received a certain sum of money from the 2nd defendant. Thereafter on 03.01.2021, the defendants attempted to interfere with the plaintiff's peaceful possession and enjoyment of the suit property. The plaintiff, with great difficulty and through the assistance of his other son, Kannaiyan, prevented such interference.

Subsequently, the plaintiff caused a advocate notice dated 04.01.2021 to be issued to the 2nd defendant. Aggrieved by the issuance of the said notice, the 1st defendant, on 12.01.2021, attempted to cut and remove the fence put up by the plaintiff around the suit property and also attempted to encroach upon the same.

The plaintiff lodged a complaint before the T.Palur police station in respect of the said incident. However, the police failed to take any action on the complaint. It is further alleged that the 1st defendant, by influencing the police, threatened Kannaiyan, the plaintiff's other son, not to extend any assistance to the plaintiff and warned that, if he did so, a false criminal case would be foisted against him and he would be sent to prison.

Hence, apprehending interference by the defendants, their man, agents, or anyone claiming under them with the plaintiff's peaceful possession and enjoyment of the suit property, the plaintiff has filed the present suit seeking the relief of permanent injunction restraining the defendants, their men, agents, or person claiming through them from in any manner interfering with the plaintiff's possession and enjoyment of the suit property.

3. Written statement not filed. Hence the defendants called absent and set *ex-parte*.

4. On the side of the plaintiff, the plaintiff himself examined as PW1 and Ex.A1 to Ex.A4 were marked on the side of the plaintiff. And one Udhayakumar examined himself as PW2 and no documents marked through him.

5. Heard on the side of plaintiff. Arguments and Records perused.

6. The point of consideration is whether the plaintiff is entitled to the relief as prayed for?

7. Points of Determination:-

On perusal of case records Ex.A1 to Ex.A4 marked. The Original Bhoomidhana Land Assignment patta dated 21.05.1970 issued by the Government in favour of the plaintiff marked as Ex.A1. The office copy of the advocate notice dated 04.01.2021 issued by the plaintiff to the 1st defendant marked as Ex.A2. The copy of the complaint petition dated 07.01.2021 submitted by the plaintiff before the police station marked as Ex.A3. The original Kist receipts standing in the name of the plaintiff marked as Ex.A4.

From the documents produced by the plaintiff's the claim of the plaintiff's stands proved. The documents produced by the plaintiff is sufficient to grant Permanent injunction restraining the defendant and their men from in any manner interfering with plaintiff peaceful possession and enjoyment of suit property. This court is satisfied to grant the relief of Permanent Injunction from the documents produced. Claim proved.

Finally, this suit is decreed. The plaintiff is entitled for Permanent Injunction as prayed for. No cost.

Dictated by me to the typist, transcribed and typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court, this the **06th** day of August **2026**.

Principal District Munsif,
Jayankondam.

//APPENDIX//

PLAINTIFFS SIDE EVIDENCE:-

1. P.W.1: Apoorvathammal (Plaintiff)
2. P.W.2: Udhayakumar

PLAINTIFFS SIDE EXHIBITS:-

Sl.No.		Particulars	Nature	Exhibits
1.	21.05.1970	The Bhoomidhana Land Assignment patta issued by the Government in favour of the plaintiff.	Original	Ex.A1
2.	04.01.2021	The advocate notice issued by the plaintiff to the 1 st defendant.	Office copy	Ex.A2
3.	07.01.2021	The complaint petition submitted by the plaintiff before the police station	Copy	Ex.A3
4.	-	The Kist receipts standing in the name of the plaintiff.	Original	Ex.A4

DEFENDANTS SIDE EVIDENCE AND EXHIBITS: - NIL -

Principal District Munsif,
Jayankondam.