

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
ARIYALUR SESSIONS DIVISION, ARIYALUR.

PRESENT: Tmt. T. Malarvalantina, M.L.,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ariyalur.

Monday, this the 20th day of July 2026

Cr.M.P.No. 1364 / 2026

(CNR No.TN AL010020572026)

1. Suthakar, aged 45 / 2026 (A1)
S/o. Palraj.

2. Amaladoss, aged 43/2026 (A3)
S/o. Theivananthan.

... Petitioners / Accused

/Vs/

The State of Tamil Nadu represented
by the Inspector of Police,
Thirumanur Police Station, in
Crime No. 122/ 2026.

... Respondent/Complainant

This petition was coming up today before me for final hearing in the presence of Thiru. R. Manimaran, Advocate for the petitioners and the Inspector of Police, Kuvagam represented as per the order of the Superintendent of Police, Ariyalur in C.No.366/SB/X/ALR/2026, dated: 02.07.2026 appeared for state and upon hearing both side arguments and perusing the petition and other relevant records, this Court is delivered the following;

ORDER

The petitioners have filed a petition u/s. 482 BNSS through E-filing for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

2. The respondent police has registered a case against the petitioners for the alleged offence u/s. 296(b), 324(4), 329(4), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS r/w. Sec.4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Woman Act on 26.06.2026. The alleged occurrence was happened on 24.06.2026. The learned

counsel for the petitioners have contended that the petitioners are no way connected with the occurrence and a false case has been foisted on them. Hence, he prayed for anticipatory bail.

The respondent police has stated that, the injured has been discharged from hospital. If the accused will be released on bail he would be committed the same offence again.

Heard on prosecution side. The respondent police was registered a FIR against the petitioners in Crime No.122/2026, U/s. 296(b), 324(4), 329(4), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS r/w. Sec.4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Woman Act on 26.06.2026. It is submitted by the respondent police that the injured has been discharged from hospital. The investigation has been substantially completed in this case. Considering the facts that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and considering the stage of investigation, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with following conditions;

1. That the petitioners/accused shall be released on bail in the event of their arrest or their surrender or appearance on their executing a bond for sum of Rs.25,000/- along with two sureties each for the like sum to the satisfaction of the **Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Ariyalur.**
2. That the petitioners/accused shall appear and sign before the **Respondent Police Station, daily at 10.00 A.M for 3 weeks.**
3. That the petitioners/Accused shall surrender on or before **04.08.2026** failing which the anticipatory bail granted to them shall stand cancelled under due process of law.
4. That the petitioners/accused shall not abscond during investigation or trial.
5. The petitioners/accused shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses during investigation or trial.

6. On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the concerned Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs State of Kerala (2005) AIR SCW 5560).
7. If the petitioners/accused absconds, the respondent police shall register a case U/s. 269 Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita 2023.

This order was dictated by me in the open Court to the Stenographer and transcribed and typed by her and corrected and pronounced by me in open Court this the 20th day of July 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Ariyalur.**

Copy to:

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Ariyalur.
2. The Counsel for the petitioners.
3. The Inspector of Police, Thirumanur Police Station.