

CIS No. 230/19, CC No.14/19
CBI New Delhi Vs. Pradeep Kumar
Yadav
U/S 420 IPC & 13 (2) R/W 13(1) (d) of
PC Act

18.12.2019

Present: Sh. Vipin Kumar, Ld. Substitute Public Prosecutor for CBI.

Accused Pradeep Kumar Yadav in person on bail with Ld.
Counsel Sh. M.A. Niyazi.

Ld. Counsel for accused has submitted that on the previous date the Holding IO SI Sanjeev Chand had filed documents in compliance of order dated 22.11.2019, but on verification of the copies of the documents supplied to the accused, it is found that complete set of documents is not filed in the court and also not supplied to the accused. Ld. Counsel has specifically pointed out the aforesaid fact with regard to document at serial no.1 of document D-10, which is shown to be having 46 pages, but only 6 pages were filed along with the charge sheet. The 40 pages of the said documents were not filed with the charge sheet and on the previous date and were not supplied to the accused. The document D-22 appears to be incomplete. The reference of some documents is made in the statement of PW-3 Nalin Kumar Nayak, the some of those documents have not been filed in the court and not supplied to the accused.

I have considered the submissions.

Issue notice to the Holding IO to appear in person for filing, supplying of the aforesaid documents, if the same are in the custody of CBI, and for making clarification with regard to document D-22 and the relevant documents mentioned in the statement of PW-3 Nailin Kumar Nayak.

Ld. Substitute PP for CBI has submitted that case may be

adjourned for addressing arguments by regular PP on the pending application U/S 91 Cr.P.C. of the accused.

Heard. Request allowed.

Put up for arguments on the aforesaid pending application of the accused and for compliance/ clarification by the Holding IO on 29.01.2020.

Copy of this order be given dasti to the CBI, as prayed.

CHANDRA SHEKHAR

Special Judge, CBI-19 (PC Act)
Rouse Avenue Courts, New Delhi
18.12.2019