

**IN THE COURT OF Dr. RANJAN KUMAR KHULLAR,
VACATION JUDGE, BATHINDA
(UID PB0215).**

CIS No: BA/2133/2024
Date of Order: 25.06.2024

State of Punjab.

Versus

Arshpreet Singh alias Arsh son of Karamjeet Singh, resident of Village Kundal, district Fazilka.

.....Accused-applicant.

FIR No.57 dated 12.05.2024

Under Sections 409, 120-B, 379-B IPC

PS Sadar, Bathinda

Bail application u/s 439 Cr.PC.

Present: Sh. Harjinder Singh, Advocate for petitioner.
Sh. Amarjit Syal, Addl. PP for the State.

ORDER

1. First post arrest bail application of petitioner as per Section 439 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.57 dated 12.05.2024 Under Sections 409, 120-B, 379-B IPC PS Sadar, District Bathinda considered.

2. Summary of the case of the prosecution is that the present case has been registered on the basis of statement of Jasvir Singh on the averments that he is serving as Branch Manager in Savtanter Microfin Private Limited, Bathinda. Their company provides loans to the unemployment women for doing small business in the village. For the purposes of collecting the loan installments, they have employed Arshpreet Singh @ Arsh. On 03.05.2024,

Arshpreet Singh @ Arsh was going to Village Burj Mehma to collect the installments at about 3.30 pm in the area of Peori to Sardargarh, where two unknown persons came on motorcycle and snatched Rs.1,22,900/- along-with kit and two mobile phones.

3. The case of the petitioner is that he has been falsely implicated in the present case. The accused has been in custody since 12.05.2024. There is delay of 10 days in lodging the present FIR. On the other hand, learned APP for the State contested the bail application by submitting that the offence in question is serious one. So, he does not deserve concession of bail.

4. I have considered the rival submissions.

5. The petitioner has been in custody since 12.05.2024. The presentation of challan and conclusion of trial will take sufficient time. Petitioner is said to be the first offender. Nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner. It is also not the case of the prosecution that petitioner would influence the witnesses or flee the trial, if released on bail.

6. In view of factual circumstances of the case, petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- to the satisfaction of Illaqa Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, subject to the conditions under Section 437(3) and 439(2) Cr.P.C.

7. Copy of this order be sent to the quarter concerned.

8. Bail application be attached with the remand papers, if any, otherwise, be consigned to the Record Room.

Pronounced in the open Court.
Date of Order: 25.06.2024
Rajesh Kumar, Steno.Gr.II

(Dr. Ranjan Kumar Khullar,)
Vacation Judge,
Bathinda./25.06.2024
UID No.PB0215