

LXXXI ADDL.CITY CIVIL & SESSIONS JUDGE
COURT, (CCH-82), BENGALURU

Spl.CC.2627/2024

PW-9 CW-30

Duly sworn on:08.05.2025

Deposition of	Smt. Lakshmi K.S.
Husband name	Praveen Kumar S.R.
Age	43 years
Occupation	Superintendent Karnataka Panchayathraj Commissionerate.
Residence	Bengaluru.

**Examination in Chief:- By learned Special Public
Prosecutor:-**

1. On 14.06.2024, The Deputy Secretary of our office had called me and directed me to appear before SIT by apprising that I was required to be a panch witness. Accordingly on 15.06.2024 I along with my colleague CW-31 Smt. Madhumathi had visited the SIT office at about 3.00 P.M. in the afternoon.
2. We were requested to wait in the office for some time and after that the investigating officer Smt. Shobha had met us and intimated that we were required to be a panch witness. They had issued

us a notice and had obtained our signature to the same. I can identify the notice if shown to me and accordingly, the notice is now marked as **Ex P-35** and the signature of witness is identified and marked as **Ex P-35(a)** and she has also identified the signature of Smt. Madhumati over the same.

3. At that time we were requested to accompany the I.O. to the house which was to be shown by accused Prajwal Revanna. I can identify the accused and the witness has identified him in the open court. We reached the house situated at Ranojirao Road at Basavanagudi, Bengaluru at about 5-40 P.M. The house was shown by accused Prajwal Revanna himself which was a four stored building. The accused has taken us first to the first floor of the building and thereafter to the second and third floor and we had climbed the same through the stairs though lift was available. In the third floor there is a room belonging to one Suraj Revanna who is the elder brother of accused

Prajwal Revanna and facing the same the room of accused was situated. In the entrance of the room there was a round shape cot and also attach bathroom was available in the said room. However, the bed on the cot did not have upper layer on it. The accused Prajwal Revanna himself had stated that the room belonged to him.

4. The investigating officer had got the entire proceedings typed and the same was photographed and videographed. After reading the typed content I have read over the same and since the contents were correct I had affixed my signature to it along with my colleague Smt. Madhumati. I can identify the document if shown to me and now I see the same which is a mahazar dated 15.06.2024 and it is now marked as **Ex P-36**. The witness has identified her signature on each page of the mahazar and for the purpose of identification the signature of the witness on the last page is now marked as **Ex P-36(a)**. She has

also identified the signature of his colleague Smt. Madhumati.

5. Now I see the photographs which are at page No.1585 and 1587 of the charge sheet and accordingly the photographs are now marked as **Ex P-37 to Ex P-39**. The witness has identified accused Prajwal Revanna in the photograph (The photograph is marked since the certificate issued under Sec.65(B) of Indian Evidence Act is available in the charge sheet).

Cross Examination:- By Sri. P.C. learned counsel for accused:- The learned counsel for accused prays time to cross examine the witness. No grounds made out, accordingly cross examination is taken as nil.

Re-examination:- Nil

(Typed to my dictation in open court)

R.O.I.A.C.

Sd/-

(Santhosh Gajanan Bhat)
LXXXI ACC & SJ, Bengaluru (CCH-82)
(Special Court exclusively to deal with
criminal cases related to elected former
and sitting MPs/MLAs in the State of
Karnataka)