

State Vs. Akashdeep Singh. BA/215/2024
FIR No.81 dated 17.04.2024.
U/s 386/506/212/213/214/148/149 IPC.
PS Sultanpur Lodhi, Kapurthala.

Present:- Sh. Ram Singh Thind, Adv, counsel for accused/applicant
Akashdeep Singh.
Sh. Vikas Sabharwal, Ld, APP for the State.

1. This order of mine shall disposed of bail application filed on behalf of accused/applicant Akashdeep Singh.
2. In the application, it is stated that accused has been falsely involved in the present and he has not committed any offence. Nothing is to be recovered from the possession of accused. Further, it is stated that accused/applicant is in judicial custody since long no useful purpose shall be served for keeping the accused behind the bar so keeping in view that application be allowed. It is also stated that this is first bail application of accused/applicant and no such application of accused is pending or decided by any higher Court till date.
3. Notice of the application was given to Ld. APP, who verbally contested the application stating that accused does not deserve the concession of bail. However, he also informed the Court that no such bail application of accused is pending or decided by any Court till date.
4. I have heard Sh. Ram Singh Thind, Advocate, learned defence counsel, who argued that application be allowed as accused/applicant has not committed any alleged offence and be released on bail.
5. On the other hand, Sh. Vikas Sabharwal, Ld, APP for the State has argued that accused does not deserve the concession of bail.
6. Heard and considered and gone through the file. Looking into the facts and and nature and gravity of offence as Sukhdev Singh has

stated that he is running School in the name of Akal Academy International School and Akal Galaxy Convent School and he receive ransom call demanding Rs.2 Crores. He received this call through Watssapp from an international number on his mobile phone through which Rs.2 Crores were demanded and when he told the person that he cannot give the said amount, he was threatened telephonically with regard to dire consequence against his family. He further stated that on 18.04.2024 at 10:00 pm, he alongwith his family were present at their house and at 10:12 pm, two unknown persons gave gunshot at his house with an intention to kill. At 11:57 pm, he again received ransom call. He stated that thereafter one day, he had gone to Sri Goindwal Sahib Gurudwara and had stopped near Mundi Mor to have tea where he overheard four boys talking about the ransom call which he had received. He stated that he had seen their faces. Considering all the above said facts and the graving of offence that ransom call was received by any citizen of India and it is quite a serious issue since acts like these not only hamper the life and liberty of the person, but also his entire family, who also suffers physiologically. May be a big incident could have happened later, the offence and act attributed to the accused/applicants are very serious in natures. In view of nature of the offence for which the accused/applicant has been arrested and for which he has to face trial, I do not deem fit to allow the present bail application. Accordingly, the present application stands dismissed, being devoid of any merits. File be put up on date fixed.

Pronounced in Open Court.

Dated:-11.11.2024

Nitish

Direct Typed

(Mehak Sabharwal),
Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate,
Sultanpur Lodhi.
(UID No.PB0385)