

**IN THE COURT OF RAVI GULATI,
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, PATIALA**

CIS No: BA-1716-2026

CNR No: PBPT010055322026

Date of Order:14.05.2026

PBPT010055322026



Harjinder Kaur @ RimpY @ Harinder Kaur aged 49 years son of Sh. Kulwinder Singh resident of H. No. 328, Street No.6, Partap Singh Wala, Panj Peer Dargah, Division No.3, Police Commissioner ATE Ludhiana, District Ludhiana.

.....Accused/Applicant

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

FIR No. 0053 dated 28.03.2026

**Under Sections 316(2)/318(4)/336(2)/338/336(3)/340(2)/61(2)
of the BNS**

Police Station: City Samana, District Patiala.

FIRST Bail Application under Section 482 BNSS

Present: Sh. P.S. Pannu, Advocate for accused/applicant.
Sh. Vikas Garg, APP for the State assisted by
Sh. Amit Jain, Advocate for complainant

ORDER

Present bail application has been filed by accused/applicant Harjinder Kaur @ RimpY @ Harinder Kaur seeking her pre-arrest bail under Section 482 BNSS. She also filed her affidavit that this is the first bail application filed by her under section 482 BNSS. As per report of Ahlmad, no other bail application of accused/ applicant is pending in any court in this FIR.

2. Upon notice, learned APP for the State put in appearance on behalf of the State and contested the bail application. Sh. Amit Jain, Advocate has also filed power of attorney on behalf of complainant Manoj Sharma. ASI Tejinder Singh, No. 1110/Patiala also appeared alongwith record.

3. Learned counsel for the accused/applicant submitted that accused/applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this FIR on vague allegations that she was also present alongwith her husband when he allegedly received disputed amount from the complainant party. He further argued that as per FIR, no overt role has been attributed to the applicant/accused. He thus submitted that accused/applicant is ready to join the investigation as she never allegedly cheated the complainant in any manner. Thus he prayed for granting concession of bail under section 482 BNSS.

4. On the other hand, the learned APP for the State as well as Sh. Amit Jain, Advocate for complainant opposed the bail application contending that the accused/applicant is not entitled to relief of anticipatory bail because she has also played important role in cheating the complainant because she was very much present at the spot at the time of negotiations and when her husband Kulwinder Singh main accused had received disputed amount from the complainant party. Learned counsel for complainant has also placed on record copies of the agreements to sell in question. Thus both learned APP for the State as well as counsel for the complainant prayed for dismissal of the bail application.

5. After hearing both the sides and after going through the record, this court finds that present FIR was registered on the basis of complaint moved by Manoj Sharma son of Pawan Kumar wherein he averred that one Parvesh Kumar son of Sham Lal was known to him. Non applicant Kulwinder Singh entered into one agreement to sell land situated at Haridawar (Uttarakhand) and vide said agreement, Kulwinder Singh projected himself as owner of the said land. He also received Rs.One Crore as earnest money from Parvesh Kumar, out of which Rs.50 Lac were given in cash and Rs.50 Lac were paid through online transaction. Sachin Kumar had attested the said agreement as witness and at that time accused/applicant Harinder Kaur being was also present at that time. The date for execution and registration of sale deed was fixed as 20.07.2025 which was further extended to 31.01.2026. Thereafter, Kulwinder Singh again obtained Rs.One Crore Fifty Lac from Parvesh Kumar and thereafter Kulwinder Singh further executed agreement to sell the same land dated 30.09.2025 in favour of complainant with consent of Parvesh Kumar. Amount of Rs.Two Crore Fifty Lac already obtained by Parvesh Kumar was agreed to be adjusted and date of registration of sale deed was fixed as 13.03.2026. Kulwinder Singh further obtained Rs.Two Crores from him. However, later on, he as well as Parvesh Kumar came to know that Kulwinder Singh was not owner of the said land but Bhupinder Kumar and Rupinder Kumar were owners of the same who had already executed agreement to sell their land through their power of attorney holder Sachin Kumar in favour of Kulwinder Singh. Thus complainant averred

that when he asked Kulwinder Singh to return his amount, he avoided the matter on one pretext or another and cheated him as well as Parvesh Kumar for total amount of Rs. 4,50,00,000/-. The record further reveals that one preliminary enquiry was also conducted before registration of the said FIR wherein it was found that accused/applicant and others had hatched criminal conspiracy with each other by executing agreement to sell on the basis of agreement to sell and obtained Rs. 4,50,00,000/- from complainant and Parvesh Kumar and that Kulwinder Singh was not the owner of the land which was subject matter of the agreement to sell executed by him.

6. As per the record Kulwinder Singh, his son Deep Singh as well as Bhupinder Kumar and Ravinder Kumar have already been arrested. As per the facts mentioned above, there appears to be dispute between the parties with regard to execution of agreement to sell on the basis of agreement to sell. Admittedly Kulwinder Singh was not owner of the land in question but one agreement to sell the same was executed in his favour by the original owners through their power of attorney. The allegations of fraud and cheating are directly attributed to him and he has already been arrested.

7. So far as accused/applicant Harjinder Kaur is concerned, she is stated to be wife of main accused Kulwinder Singh. The allegations against her are only to the extent that she was also present when Kulwinder Singh had executed agreement to sell and when he received the huge amount in question from the complainant party. However, she is not signatory of any agreement to sell. Even as per

contents of the FIR and the complaint, she personally had not received any amount from the complainant party. Therefore in these circumstances, this court is of the opinion that investigation with respect to her role behind the transactions between original owners, Kulwinder Singh and the complainant party can be carried out without her custodial interrogation. Just because huge amount is involved, benefit of pre-arrest bail can not be denied to the accused/applicant when no specific role has been attributed to her. As such, without commenting upon the culpability or non culpability of the accused/applicant and in order to facilitate the fair investigation, she is directed to join the investigation on or before 17.05.2026 and in the event of doing so, she shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer and accused/applicant is directed to cooperate in the investigation, subject to the following conditions: -

1. That she shall not hinder the ongoing investigation;
2. That she shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court;
3. That she shall not make any threat, promise or inducement to any person conversant with the facts of the case to dissuade him/her from disclosing the truth to the Court or police;
4. That she shall join the investigation as and when asked by the investigating officer,
5. That she shall cooperate with investigation in the matter

of present case and further subject to the fulfillment of
Section 482(2) BNSS

However the observations made herein shall have no
effect on the merits of the main case. Police record be returned and
now to come on 18.05.2026 for report and consideration.

Pronounced
Dated:14.05.2026.
jaspal Singh

(Ravi Gulati)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Patiala (UID No.PB0242)

CIS No: BA-1716-2026

CNR No: PBPT010055322026

Present: Sh. Rishi Chopra, Advocate for accused/applicant.
Sh. Vikas Garg, APP for the State assisted by
Sh. Amit Jain, Advocate for complainant

Police record produced and perused. Arguments heard.

Vide my separate detailed order of even date, the interim bail has been granted to the accused/applicants as per the conditions referred therein. Police record be returned and now to come on 18.05.2026 for report and consideration.

Pronounced
Dated:14.05.2026.
jaspal Singh

(Ravi Gulati)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Patiala (UID No.PB0242)