

CNR No:PBKP020008092025 CASE No:EXE-71-2025

PUNJAB NATIONAL BANK Vs Satnam Singh

Present: Sh.Balbir Singh Talwandi, Advocate for the DH.
JD No.1 and 2 exparte vide order dated 13.04.2026.

Warrant of attachment of the property of JD No.1 received executed vide letter No.490 dated 04.08.2026 in which it is mentioned that entry regarding warrant of attachment vide rapat No.377 dated 15.07.2026 has been made.

Perusal of file reveals that earlier notice of this execution was given to JDs No.1 and 2 which was received back with the report of residing abroad. Service through publication upon the JD No.1 and 2 in newspaper "Tribune" was received effected on 13.04.2026 but JDs No.1 and 2 have failed to appear and were proceeded against exparte. Therefore, it is presumed that JDs No.1 and 2 are having notice of the proceedings but are intentionally not appearing before the Court. Therefore, this court is of the view that there is no need to issue fresh notice under Order 21 Rule 66 CPC to JDs No.1 and 2. The property of JDs No.1 and 2 is put to sale as per following schedule:-

Notice on the Board:	09.09.2026
Munadi:	23.09.2026
Sale:	13.10.2026
Report:	29.10.2026

The applicant/DH is also granted permission to bid in the auction under Order 21 Rule 72 of CPC. The applicant/DH is also exempted to pay 25% amount as per the

Order 21 rule 72(2) CPC. In case of default on the part of applicant/DH, the execution shall be deemed to be dismissed without prejudice to the right of the applicant to file fresh application and attachment shall remain intact for one year under Order 21 rule 57 of CPC. In case, there is gazetted holiday or sale could not be executed on the given date for any reason, the sale be conducted on the very next working day. Adjourned to **29.10.2026** for awaiting report.

Date of Order: 26.08.2026
Ritu

(Navjit Pal Kaur)
ACJSD, Kapurthala
UID No . PB00398