


MHYA110022562025 	Reg. Civil Suit No.89/2025 (Pragati Vs. Shobhabai)
---	--

ORDER BELOW EXH. 18

1. Plaintiff filed application for Status Quo.
2. It is contention of plaintiff that, application for temporary injunction is pending before this court. Plaintiff filed present suit for partition and separate possession in respect of suit property. They further contended that, They are owner and possessor of suit properties. Defendants are trying to sale out the suit properties. If, defendants sold suit properties then it will cause irreparable loss to plaintiff. Therefore, they prayed to allow application and direct to defendant not to sale or transfer the suit properties.
3. Defendants filed their say and contended that, plaintiffs are having 3 acre land at Ningnur. Plaintiffs father got his share in suit properties therefore, plaintiffs are having right to claim partition in share of their fathers property. Hence, he urged to reject the application.
4. On perusal of application, say and documents filed by plaintiff, it appears that, plaintiff filed present suit for partition and seperate possession. Plaintiff filed copy of 7/12 extract of survey no. 3/1 and 968/1 situated at Ningnur on perusal of 7/12 extract it appears that in gat no. 3/1 defendant no. 1 is having 1 H. 27R, land and in gat no. 968/1 Namdev Ganpat Khare is having 62 R. land and other defendants are having common 62R. land. but, plaintiff has not filed any document on record to show that, defendants are trying to sale out the suit

properties but, on Exh. 16 defendants were given under taking that, they will not transfer the suit property.

5. Therefore, in view of under taking plaintiffs and defendants both are directed to maintain status Quo till filing of written statement and say of Exh. 5

Date:15.10.2025

(Smt.R.A.A.Khatib)
Jt. Civil Judge Jr.Dn.,
Umarkhed.