

L.A.R. No. 86/2018

Chamapt Vs.. State

ORDER BELOW EXH.15

- . Read the application and say. Heard both the sides.
2. The present application is filed by the Non-applicant no.3 to condone the delay in filing written statement.
3. Learned advocate for applicant has objected the application on the ground of long delay.
4. I have considered the application and the date of service of summons to the non-applicant No.3. There is no materiel on records to show that the non-applicant no.3 was properly served with notice with all the relevant documents. Ld. Advocate for non-applicant no.3 fairly submitted that he will adopt the written statement filed by the non-applicant No.1 and 2. Considering these submissions and nature of proceedings, the application is allowed and the delay is condoned.

Date : 02/09/2023
Darwha, Dist. Yavatmal.

(Devendra B. Patange)
2nd Jt. Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) Darwha.