


MHYA050032372025 	RCS 112/2025 Satish Vs Nagar Parishad Pusad
---	---

**Order Below Exh. 10**  
(Pasted on 06/05/2026)

This is an application filed by the applicant for addition of party under order 1 Rule 10 of C.P.C.

**2. Brief facts of the applicants are as under :**

The applicant namely Satish Vishwasrao Naik by way of this application contended that, the present suit property cannot be subject matter of the suit, the suit property belongs to Congress Committee Pusad. However, plaintiff has encroached over the same. Moreover, the Collector of the Yavatmal district had passed an order dated 13/03/2023 for the purpose of removal of encroachment and that order ongoing for implementation. Further the applicant has interest and right in the suit property therefore, he is necessary party to the suit.

**3.** After this application, the plaintiff has filed his say vide (Exh.19) to this application in which he denied all contentions of the application and contended that the suit property is government property and is in the possession of plaintiff since long. However, the applicant has no concern with this property, merely the applicant is adjacent plot holder and he is not authorised person to file such application. Therefore, he having no locus-standi hence this application liable to be rejected and not maintainable.

**4.** Perused the application and say. Heard the learned counsel for both sides. By way of this application the above named applicant sought to join as a party in the suit and contended that he having interest in the suit property and that the property belongs to congress committee Pusad and filed document vide (Exh.12). I have gone through the said documents including order of Tehsildar, application etc to the Tehsildar, Pusad. Considering this application it appears that there is raised question as to encroachment and the said encroachment is subject of trial or evidence, whether the encroachment over the suit property took place or not it is only part and parcel of evidence. At this stage, this Court not go on evidence till then it appears that the intervenor (applicant) is necessary party to the suit to properly adjudicate the present suit. Hence, I pass following order.

**ORDER**

- 1) The application is hereby allowed.
- 2) The plaintiff is hereby directed to add applicant Pusad Congress Committee vice president Sachin Vishwasrao Naik as a party to the suit as a defendant. Further, the plaintiff is directed to carry out the necessary amendment.
- 3) The plaintiff is further directed to file copy of amended plaint.

sd/-

Date :-06.05.2026

(A.B.Jadhav)  
3<sup>rd</sup>Jt. Civil Judge, Jr. Divn.  
Pusad.

