

Sessions Case No.105/2025
State ..Vs.. Vikki Kamble
(CNR No.MHYA010020532025)

ORAL ORDER BELOW EXH. 03

1. This is an application for bail under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.
2. Heard the learned counsel for the accused and the learned PP for the State. Perused the record.
3. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the accused that there is only a memorandum statement of the accused. Investigation is over. There are no criminal antecedents against the accused. The statements of certain witnesses were recorded by the learned magistrate after one month. The trial would take its own time. The accused has been behind the bars for the past three months.
4. *Per contra*, it is submitted by the learned PP that there is an extra judicial confession made by the accused, as per two witnesses. The broken mobile handset of the deceased and a stone came to be recovered at the instance of the accused. He is likely to tamper with the witnesses and endanger their lives.
5. As per the FIR, the deceased was done to death. As per the statement given by witnesses Rahul Savallakhe and Pawan

Kolkar, the accused gave an extra judicial confession before them that the deceased was done to death by him. Apart from the same, the broken mobile handset of the deceased is said to have been recovered at the instance of the accused.

6. Thus, there is *prima facie* material available against the accused on the record, although the statements of the witnesses came to be recorded by the magistrate after one month. The offence is serious in nature. The accused is likely to influence the witnesses, he being the driver, engaged by one of the material witnesses. Considering the overall circumstances, the accused does not deserve to be enlarged on bail, due to which, I proceed to pass the following order.

ORDER

The application is hereby rejected.

Date: 30/10/2025
Place: Yavatmal.

(S.U. Baghele)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Yavatmal.