



ORDER BELOW EXHIBIT NO.29
(Passed on 10th August, 2026)

Perused application and say. Heard both sides.

2. By this application the accused has prayed for relaxation of the bail condition and thereby requested for reduction of surety amount from Rs.75,000/- to Rs.40,000/- .

3. This application is strongly opposed by the learned APP. She has prayed for rejection of the application.

4. Perusal of the record shows that the accused is charged with the offence punishable under Section 376 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, on the allegation of rape committed by him [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Thus, the allegation leveled in the chargesheet against accused are undoubtedly serious in nature. Apart from this, guidelines regarding the Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) dated 2nd December, 2025 annexed with the letter of the Director (PR & ATC), Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India, the person involved in heinous crime like rape is not entitled for the benefit of this scheme. Apart from this the learned counsel for accused has submitted that under the said scheme, no surety will be provided. In this background and in the light of serious allegations of rape against the accused, the present application deserves to be rejected and accordingly, it is rejected.

5. The accused is Under Trial Prisoner. Therefore, the learned APP and the learned counsel for the accused to conduct the case as

early as possible and co-operate this Court in speedy disposal of this case.

6. Both parties to take note.

Date : 10/08/2026

Additional Sessions Judge,
Yavatmal.

CERTIFICATE

“I affirm that, the contents of this P.D.F. file Judgment/Order are same word to word, as per the original Judgment/Order.

Name of the Stenographer	Shri D.N.Abruk Stenographer Grade-I
Name of the Court	Ad-hoc District Judge-1, Yavatmal
Date of Signature of Presiding Officer	10/08/2026
Date of Judgment Uploaded	10/08/2026

Date : 10/08/2026
Yavatmal.

(D. N. Abruk)
Stenographer Grade-I,
Ad-hoc District Judge-1, Yavatmal.