

**ORDER BELOW EXH.14 IN Spl.C.S. NO.12/2025**

**Ganesh Vs. Pankaj**

The defendant has filed this application for setting aside No. W.S. order and for permission to file W.S.

2. It is submitted that the defendant No.1 was out of station therefore, he could not contact his lawyer. Hence his lawyer has filed application for adjournment. However, 90 days time is already over, therefore said application was rejected. The order was passed and the matter was proceeded without W.S. of defendant No.1. It is also submitted that now all the documents are available with the defendant No. 1 so he prepared the W.S. and filed his say on record. So he prays to grant permission for filing this suit.

3. On the Other hand the plaintiff has filed say to the effect that the defendant was to prolong the case, therefore application be rejected with heavy cost.

4. Heard both sides. Certainly sufficient time was granted to the defendant No.1 still he failed to file W.S. so the order in question was passed. However, considering the reason mentioned by the defendant No.1 in the application. In my opinion opportunity can be granted to the defendant No.1 to file W.S. So that he can contest the matter on merits, however, certainly there is delay in filing W.S. Hence cost is necessary to be imposed. Therefore, I pass following order-

**ORDER**

1. The application is allowed subject to cost of Rs. 1000/-.
2. After cost compliance the W.S. of defendant No. 1 shall be taken on record.

Washim.  
Date:- 13/11/2025.

**( S. S. Sahasrabudhe)**  
Civil Judge (Sr. Div.), Washim.

**CERTIFICATE**

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file judgment/order are same word to word, as per original judgment/order.

- 1) Name of Stenographer :- T.R. Ugale (Stenographer G-III)
- 2) Name of Court :- Civil Judge (S.D.), Washim.
- 3) Date :- 13/11/2025
- 4) Order signed by the  
presiding officer on :- 13/11/2025
- 5) Order uploaded on :- 13/11/2025