



ORDER BELOW EXH.18 IN R.C.S. NO.46/2023

(CNR No. MHWS02000218-2023)

Yashoda Ingole Vs. Rajkumar Karwa & Ors.

1] Defendants have filed this application, praying that they be permitted to file their reply to an application Exh.5 for temporary injunction. According to them, they were under presumption that they have already filed their reply to Exh.5. But, they came to know there is no such reply on record of the suit. Defendants have further stated that there is bona-fide mistake on their part in not filing their reply to Exh.5. With this, they have prayed that this application be allowed.

2] The plaintiff has filed her say on overleaf of this application. She has stated that the reason mentioned in this application is not convincing & satisfactory. With this, she has prayed that this application being devoid of merits is liable to be rejected.

3] I have heard Ld. Advocate Mr. Poddar for defendants & Ld. Advocate Mr. Rathod for the plaintiff at considerable length. I have also gone through the record of the suit.

4] It is matter of the record that till date 'no reply' order has not been passed against defendants. Thus, having regard to the reason mentioned in this application & taking into consideration nature of the suit, I have no hindrance to hold that this application deserves to be allowed. With this, I proceed to pass the following order -

ORDER

1] Application Exh.18 is allowed.

- 2] Defendants are permitted to file their reply to an application Exh.5.
- 3] No order as to costs.
- 4] Parties to the suit shall take note of this order.

Washim.
Date – 21/10/2024.

(S. S. Ubale)
Civil Judge, (Sr. Div.), Washim.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file judgment/order are same word to word, as per original judgment/order.

- 1) Name of Stenographer :- S. P. Kale (Stenographer G-III)
- 2) Name of Court :- Civil Judge (S.D.), Washim.
- 3) Date :- 21/10/2024
- 4) Order signed by the
presiding officer on :- 21/10/2024
- 5) Order uploaded on :- 21/10/2024