

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT JUDGE, WASHIM.

Order Below Exh. 46

(Dated 22/07/2026)

- 1) By the present application, intervenor one Nana Rambhau Tayade wants to intervene in the present proceedings being a person interested in the affairs of the trust.
- 2) The appointment and resignation of trustees is in question in the present proceedings. In the said proceedings, some orders were carried and it was seen that these proceedings were compromised before the Lokadalat. The present applicant preferred writ petition before the Hon'ble High Court of Bombay and the same was allowed and the said order was quashed and sent back to the District Court. It is contented that the parties are hand in gloves and trying to usurp the rights of the trust in collusion and considering the same, intervener should be allowed to add as a party.
- 3) The said application is resisted by the applicant contending that the applicant is not person interested. The applicant was lying quiet for all these years and considering the same, he is not an interested person and not taking active part in the affairs of the trust. It is pointed out that the trust is established in 1983 and as per the Trustee, there are only two kinds of members. The present applicant has to show that he is member of the trust and without being a member of the trust, he cannot be said to be a person interested. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the application should be rejected.
- 4) Heard both sides. Consider the submissions advanced.
- 5) There is no dispute with regard to the fact that the present intervener had preferred writ petition and the same has been allowed by the Hon'ble High Court. The same also reveals that there is some collusion

between the alleged contesting parties with regard to their present litigation. It is also true that there are certain proceedings before the Criminal Court also alleging the present applicant to have misrepresented himself as a trustee of the trust. Thus, all these circumstances show that in one way or the other, the present intervenor was interested and associated with the affairs of the trust. Considering the fact that it was he who challenged the order passed in the Lokadalat in the present proceedings, the intervenor being so interested in the affairs of the trust is apparent on face of record.

- 6) As regards the membership, it is a dispute to be decided separately as and when and it raised. In such peculiar circumstances of the parties to the original lis who were to manage the affairs of the trust, trying to compromise the issue as well as the factum that it is this intervenor who opposed the said grounds, I am inclined to hold that the intervenor is a person who is interested person in the affairs of the trust at least for the sake of this application. Hence, I pass the following order.

ORDER

- 1) Application is hereby allowed.
- 2) Intervenor is joined as party opponent to the present proceedings.
- 3) Applicant to carry out the amendment, accordingly.
- 4) Application disposed off, accordingly.

Place : Washim.
Date: 22/07/2026.

(Anil Subramaniam)
Principal District Judge,
Washim.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file Judgment/ Order are same word to word, as per the original judgment/order.

Name of Stenographer :- A. W. Ajmire, Stenographer (Grade-II)

Name of Court :- Principal District & Sessions Judge,
Washim, Tq. Dist. Washim.

Date of Judgment/ Order :- 22/07/2026

Judgment/Order signed by :- 22/07/2026
the Presiding Officer on

Judgment/Order uploaded on :- 22/07/2026