

M.C.A. No.31/2024  
Nitin v. M/s. S.S.R.Developers+3  
MHWS010009042024

**Order below Exh.21.**

The respondent filed this application for calling the record from learned trial Court.

2. It is contended that the learned trial Court passed order below Exh.5 in favour of respondent. Therefore, the respondent prayed to avoid technical problem, it is necessary to call the record from trial Court bearing case Spl.C.S.No.10/2024. Hence, prayed to allow the application.

3. The learned advocate for appellant filed say and opposed the application on the ground that the respondent intentionally filed this application with intent to prolong the matter. The appellant has already filed private paper book along with all document which filed in original suit. Due to which application filed by the respondent liable to be rejected with heavy cost. Hence, prayed to reject the application.

4. I have gone through the record. It appears that the appellant has already filed private paper book on record and respondent have already received copy of paper book on 04/11/2025. The respondent has signed below Exh.1 to that effect as received that paper book. It also appears from the application Exh.21 that the respondent has not mentioned any specific document which is not in a paper book provided by the appellant. Hence, this application is devoid of merit and liable to be rejected. Hence, order.

**ORDER**

The application is hereby rejected.

Date : 09/02/2026

(VD.Ingle)  
District Judge 2, Washim.

**CERTIFICATE**

I affirm that the contents of this PD.F file Judgment/Order are same word to word, as per the original judgment/order.

Name of Stenographer	:- R.R.Bharad, Stenographer (Grade-I)
Name of Court	:- Smt.V.D.Ingle District Judge-2 and Additional Sessions Judge, Washim.
Date of Judgment/ Order	:- 09/02/2026
Judgment/Order signed by the Presiding Officer on	:- 09/02/2026
Judgment/Order uploaded on	:- 10/02/2026