

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, WASHIM

Cri. B.A.No.253/2026

Ashik Ali Mohhammad Mujid Vs. State

CNR No.MHWS010006582026

Order below Exh.1

(Passed on 10/07/2026)

This is an application preferred under Section 483 of B.N.S.S., 2023 for grant of regular bail in Crime No.307/2026 registered with Washim-gramin police station for the offence punishable under Sections 179, 318(4) and 3(5) of B.N.S. Act, 2023.

2] It is prosecution story that on 05/06/2026, the informant was present in his Pan-shop, at about 8.25 p.m. the applicant and other accused reached there by their Scorpio Jeep bearing registration No. RJ-01-UB-7416. One of them purchased grocery articles of Rs.490/- from the informant and paid him consideration of fake currency note of Rs.500/-, on which it was written, "Children Bank of India 500 3CB 000000" and there was photograph of late Gandhiji. Therefore, the informant started chasing the Scorpio Jeep. He informed about the same on the Tole Gate, where the Scorpio Jeep was nabbed. He found bundle of Rs.500/- fake currency notes, therefore, he lodged FIR. On the basis of which present crime came to be registered.

3] Accused was arrested. He is in Jail. Hence, this is an application for regular bail.

4] Prosecution has opposed the bail application.

5] It is argued by the ld. Adv. Shri. S. B. Bhagat for applicant that there is no role of the applicant in the commission of crime. Just he was driving the Scorpio Jeep. He was not participated in the possession and payment of fake money to the informant. Since days together he is in

..2..

Jail. Hence, they are praying for bail.

6] Per contra, it is argued by ld. APP Shri. P. S. Dhoble that the offence is against the national economy. Bundles of fake currency notes were found in the Scorpio Jeep. The applicant was present in the Jeep. Hence, they are praying for rejection of bail

7] Having heard the following points arise for my consideration together with my findings thereon for the reason recorded below :-

Sr. No.	Points	Findings
1	Whether the accused is entitled for regular bail ?	In the negative.
2.	What order ?	As per final order.

REASONS

As to point No.1 :

8] As per FIR, the applicant was driving Scorpio Jeep. Lots of fake currency notes of Rs.500/- were found in the Scorpio Jeep. Admittedly the applicant was driving the Scorpio Jeep. Hence, he was present in the Scorpio Jeep alongwith other persons, who were in possession of the fake currency notes. The investigation is in progress. The crime is against the national economy and it is against to the society at large. Hence, previous bail application was rejected. Thereafter, this second bail application is preferred alongwith affidavit of Sanjaysing Papusing Rawat and Sunil Dhaka Nath. Both of them are resident of Rajasthan State. They have filed affidavit stating that applicant/accused was their regular driver. I have perused the statement of Sanjaysing Rawat before police. In his statement dtd.10/06/2026, he has stated to the police that other accused brought the applicant as their driver.

..3..

Therefore, the statement to the police does not show that applicant was regular driver of Sanjaysing Papusing Rawat. Despite the fact these two persons have filed affidavit before the Court. Therefore, it is an attempt to misguide the Court. Already first bail was rejected. Investigation is in progress. Absconding accused are yet to be arrested. There is no change in circumstances. Hence, I record my findings in negative and pass following order :-

ORDER

Application is rejected.

Date: 10/07/2026

(J. P. Zapate)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Washim.

..4..

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file Judgment/Order are same word to word, as per the original order :-

Name of the Stenographer	:- N. B. Khandare, Stenographer (Grade-1)
Court Name	:- District Judge-1 & Additional Sessions Judge, Washim
Date of Judgment/order	:- 10/07/2026
Order signed by the Presiding Officer on	:- 10/07/2026
Order uploaded on	:- 10/07/2026