

Cri.B.A.No.103/2026

Altaf Salim v. State

MHWS01003422026

Order below Exh.1.

The applicant Altaf Salim Kureshi @ Shaikh Altab Shaikh Salim @ Altaf Salim Ghankar has filed present application for grant of anticipatory bail under section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 apprehending his arrest in crime no.206/2026 for the offence punishable under Sections 296, 109, 3(5) of BNS 2023 registered with Police Station Risod, Tq.Risod, Dist. Washim.

2. Heard learned advocate for the applicant and learned APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

3. The learned advocate for the applicant submitted that the complainant Shaikh Hasan Shaikh Yusuf lodged complaint alleging that his brother Shaikh Irfan Shaikh Yusuf was having tea on the tea shop at Malegaon naka. At that time, Altaf Salim Kureshi came there and shouted loudly on no reason. The brother of complainant asked her about shouting on that count accused abused him in filthy language and and assaulted him on the chest by means of knife.

4. The learned advocate for the applicant/accused submitted that the complainant and his relative tried to kill the present applicant/accused. The applicant/accused is survived in that attack and to avoid facing legal action, the complainant filed false present complaint against the applicant. The applicant/accused is taking treatment in the hospital. In such circumstances, if applicant is arrested on bail, there is a possibility of serious effect on his health. The applicant/accused has no any concern with the alleged offence. The applicant/accused is ready to abide by all conditions imposed by the Court while granting anticipatory bail. Hence, prayed to allow the

application.

5. The learned APP and investigating officer have filed say vide Exh.06 and strongly objected the application on the ground that applicant/accused and informant has filed counter cases against each other. Prima facie involvement of accused is shown in the present crime. If applicant/accused is granted anticipatory bail, he may not co-operate to the investigation and he may tamper the prosecution evidence also. The offence is serious in nature. Hence, prayed to reject the application.

6. It is argued by learned advocate for the applicant/ accused that the Court has granted interim bail to the present applicant on 25/03/2026. It also appears that there is counter cases has been filed against each other by applicant/accused and complainant. The applicant is ready to undertake to cooperate the investigating agency. Therefore, custodial interrogation of the applicant as such is not necessary. Hence, I hold that applicant is entitled to grant of anticipatory bail. In the result, I pass to proceed following order.

ORDER

1. Application is allowed.
2. The interim bail granted on 25/03/2026 to the applicant/accused Altaf Salim Kureshi @ Shaikh Altab Shaikh Salim @ Altaf Salim Ghankar is hereby confirmed on same terms and conditions.
3. Hamdast allowed.

Date : 22/04/2026

(V.D.Ingle)
Additional Sessions Judge, Washim.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F file Judgment/
Order are same word to word, as per the original judgment/order.

Name of Stenographer	:- R.R.Bharad, Stenographer (Grade-I)
Name of Court	:- Smt.VD.Ingle District Judge-2 and Additional Sessions Judge, Washim.
Date of Judgment/ Order	:- 22/04/2026
Judgment/Order signed by the Presiding Officer on	:- 22/04/2026
Judgment/Order uploaded on	:- 22/04/2026