

**Cri.B.A.No.76/2026  
State Vs. Anil Dhangar  
MHWS010002662026**

**Order below Exh.01.**

The applicant Anil @ Sunil Vishnu Dhangar has filed present application for grant of anticipatory bail under section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 apprehending his arrest in crime no.184/2026 for the offence punishable under Sections 75, 351(3) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023; section 8, 12 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 and section 3(1)(w)(i), 3(1)(w)(ii), 3(2)(va) of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 registered with Police Station Risod, Tq.Risod, Dist. Washim.

2. Heard learned advocate for the applicant and learned APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

3. The learned counsel for applicant/accused submitted that applicant is permanent resident of village Haral, Tq.Risod, Dist. Washim having considerable movable and immovable property. The applicant is peace loving and law abiding person in the society. It is submitted that one Kanhupatra Madhav Taktode lodged false complaint that on 21/02/2026 at about 01.30 pm, when her daughter (victim) lonely in her cattle shed at that time applicant/accused came there and asked for drinking water. At that time the applicant/accused grabbed victim's hand with bad intention and he pulled her closer and held her in his arms. Therefore, the mother of victim lodged report. It is submitted that the applicant/accused has no concern with the alleged offence. Applicant/accused is falsely implicated in this crime. Due to political rivalry in between the applicant/accused and the father of victim, they

lodged false complaint with intent to harass the applicant/accused. Applicant is law abiding person. He is ready to co-operate with the investigation. Nothing is to be recovered from the applicant hence, his custodial interrogation is not required. Hence, prayed to grant anticipatory bail to them.

4. Learned APP has opposed the bail application on the ground that the accused has knowledge that the victim is the member of SC community however, accused used criminal force against her with intent to outrage her modesty. If applicant is granted anticipatory bail, he may pressurize the victim and witnesses. Hence, they prayed to reject the application.

5. The complainant filed his say at Exh.08. He has strongly objected the application and prayed to reject the application.

6. I have gone through the record. It appears that the offence is in respect of minor girl. The minor victim girl is the member of SC and ST community. On perusal of FIR, prima facie the involvement of the accused shown in the said crime. The accused hold victim in his arms with a malicious motive and also threatened her. Considering the facts and circumstances, I am of the opinion that the applicant/accused does not deserve for anticipatory bail at this stage. Hence, I proceed to pass the following order.

**ORDER**

The application stands rejected.

Date : 02/04/2026

(V.D.Ingle)  
Additional Sessions Judge, Washim.

**CERTIFICATE**

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F file Judgment/  
Order are same word to word, as per the original judgment/order.

Name of Stenographer	:- R.R.Bharad, Stenographer (Grade-I)
Name of Court	:- Smt.V.D.Ingle District Judge-2 and Additional Sessions Judge, Washim.
Date of Judgment/ Order	:- 02/04/2026
Judgment/Order signed by the Presiding Officer on	:- 02/04/2026
Judgment/Order uploaded on	:- 02/04/2026