



Cri.Bail Application No.1803/2025
(Satish Bansi Pawar and Anr. Vs. State of
Maharashtra)

ORDER BELOW EXH.1

1. By way of this application under Section-482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS) the applicants/accused Satish Bansi Pawar and Santosh Vishnu Garad, seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in connection with Crime No.252/2024 registered at Shevgaon Police Station, Tal. Shevgaon, Dist. Ahmednagar in respect of offences punishable under Sections 307, 326, 143, 144, 146, 147, 149, 427, 504, 506 of the Indian Penal Code.
2. It is the prosecution's case that sugarcane in the field of informant Machindra Pangare got burnt due to negligent act of tractor driver who showed his readiness to pay compensation to the informant. The accused Satish Pawar, Sarpanch of the village got aggrieved by the promise of the tractor driver to pay compensation to the informant. It is alleged that on that count on 21.03.2024 at about 8.30 p.m. accused Satish Pawar and his companions assaulted the informant. It is alleged that accused Sandeep Bamdale beat the informant with bludgeon on his back. Accused Satish Pawar tried to inflict rod blow on the head of the informant but it was warded off and landed on the leg of the informant causing fracture. Other accused persons also assaulted the informant with sticks.
3. It is submitted by the learned advocate appearing on behalf of the applicants that quarrel occurred without premeditation; that the parties have amicably settled the dispute and

have filed the proceeding before the Hon'ble High Court for quashing the first information report in question wherein the informant has filed his affidavit giving no objection to quash the first information report; that in that view of the matter applicants are entitled for grant of anticipatory bail; that earlier applications moved by the present applicants were rejected by this court and also by the Hon'ble High Court. He further submitted that however, in view of settlement, rejection of applications will not come into the ways of the applicants to secure anticipatory bail.

4. It is submitted by learned APP appearing on behalf of the prosecution that in view of rejection of anticipatory bail application by this court and by the Hon'ble High Court and there being no change in the circumstances present application is not maintainable and the applicants are not entitled for grant of anticipatory bail. He further submitted that presence of the applicants for the purpose of recovery of weapons used in the commission of crime is must.

5. There is no dispute between the parties that anticipatory bail applications moved by the present applicants were rejected by this court as well as by the Hon'ble High Court. The applicants are seeking anticipatory bail on the premises that matter has been settled and the parties have filed proceeding before the Hon'ble High Court for quashing the first information report. However, this will not amount change in the circumstances. In as much as aspect of grant of anticipatory bail to the applicants is concerned as there is no change in the circumstances and as the earlier bail applications of these applicants were rejected by this court as well as by the Hon'ble High Court applicants are not entitled for grant of anticipatory bail merely because parties have filed proceeding before the Hon'ble

High Court for quashing the first information report. The presence of the applicants for the purpose of recovery of weapons used in the commission of crime is must. Thus, finding no force in the submission of learned advocate for the applicants, following order is passed.

ORDER

1. Cri. Bail Application is hereby rejected.

Date : 31.01.2026
Place : Ahmednagar.

(C.M.BAGAL)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Ahmednagar JO Code-MH00070