IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, WARDHA
(Presided over by S.A.S.M. Ali, Additional Session Judge, Wardha)

Sessions Case No. 79/2023
CNR No. MHWR010021012023

State of Maharashtra
Versus
Aniket Shankarrao Waghade and others.
.... **Applicant.**

ORDER BELOW EXH. 65
(Delivered on 02/08/2025)

The present application is filed below Exh. 65 in Sessions Trial No. 79/2023. The present bail application is filed by the applicant, Poonam Satish Irpache for bail. According to the applicant, after the death of her husband, she is only person for taking care of her two sons are aged about 13 years and 15 years respectively. She apprehends that, in her absence, there is a possibility that someone may take undue advantage of her children. It is also alleged that one of her sons has secured admission to the 12th standard and there is no one to guide or support to them. Both childrens are entirely dependent on the applicant only. Therefore, she prays that she be released on bail.

2] The application is opposed by the learned APP by filing a reply at Exhibit 66. According to the APP, applicant's earlier bail application has already been rejected on merits. The trial has already commenced, and therefore, the present application is liable to be rejected.

3] Perused the application. Heard the learned APP for the

State and the counsel for the applicant. It is necessary to mention here that the applicant, though being a lady and the mother, is facing serious charge under Section 302 of the IPC for allegedly planning and committing the murder of her husband in conspiracy with the other co-accused. Considering the huge evidence available against her, and the fact that two earlier bail applications have already been rejected.

4] Now, coming to the grounds raised by the applicant, the first ground is that her sons are adolescents, and considering the daily events of incidents involving torture, kidnapping, and sexual assault of young boys, her presence is necessary for their care and protection. It is further alleged that there is no one in the house to care for her children. However, much force cannot be found in these submissions, because the sons of the applicant are currently residing with their grandmother. Moreover, the trial has already commenced and 4 to 5 witnesses have been examined. Therefore, there is no merit in the present application. Hence, the following order is passed.

ORDER

[i] The bail application below Exh. 65 is rejected.

SYED AMEER SYED MOHAMMAD ALI

Digitally signed by SYED AMEER SYED MOHAMMAD ALI
Date: 2025.08.06 10:37:05 +0530

Date : 02/08/2025

(S.A.S.M. Ali)
Additional Session Judge,
Wardha.

CERTIFICATE

Dictated on	: 02.08.2025
Transcribed on	: 02.08.2025
Checked & signed on	: 05.08.2025
Uploaded on	: 06.08.2025

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file are word to word as per original order.

Sd/-
(P. U. Kawde)
Stenographer