

ORDER BELOW EXH.20

(State of Maharashtra Vs Mukesh Atram +2)

1] The present application has been filed by the accused No.1 Mukesh Atram for being released on his personal bond. As per the Advocate for the accused, the court had granted bail to his client accused by its order dated 16.03.2026 below Exh.6. However, his parents and brother, though informed about the bail order, have not been able to furnish the required surety. Stating that this accused is the only earning member in the family, whose financial condition is not good, Shri Verma Advocate is seeking the release of his client on the execution of a personal bond. It has also been stated that after his release, this accused will furnish the required surety within one month. In support of his argument, Shri Verma Advocate has placed reliance upon the order of the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in In Re Policy Strategy For Grant of Bail SMWP (Criminal) No. 4/2021, dated 31st January, 2023.**

2] Shri Ghude the Ld. APP has, in this reply, stated that the fact that the relatives of the accused had not met his Advocate will show that they did not trust the accused or that they were not willing to stand surety for him. Further, pointing out that the accused may even abscond if released on the execution of a PR bond, he has sought the rejection of the application.

3] Heard Shri Verma Advocate and Shri Ghude the Ld. APP. The record will show that though this accused had been held to be entitled to bail vide order dated 16.03.2026 below Exh.6, he has not been able to furnish surety till date i.e. for more than one month. Considering the directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the order dated

31.01.2023 as relied upon by Shri Verma Advocate, it is clear that this accused in the facts of the present case, can be released on executing a PR bond, as he has also said that he will furnish the required surety within one month of his release. Though the Ld. APP has stated that the accused may abscond if released on executing a PR bond, it will have to be pointed out that the conditions of he furnishing the details of his residence, contact number as also the details of three of his blood relatives along with the necessary documents regarding their identification and address, have already been imposed upon him. He will therefore, have to comply with the same. It is therefore, difficult for me to believe that the accused in question will abscond, if he is released for one month on the execution of a PR bond. I therefore, proceed to pass the following order.

ORDER

- 1] The application stands allowed.
- 2] The accused No.1 Mukesh Mahadeo Atram, who is arrested in Crime No.450/2025, registered by the Deoli Police Station for the offences punishable under Sections 109, 118(1), 352 R/w. 3(5) of the B.N.S. and under Section 4 R/w. Sec. 25 of the Indian Arms Act is released on executing a PR bond of Rs.20,000/-, for a period of one month.
- 3] In this period, he shall furnish surety to the extent of Rs.20,000/-. The other conditions imposed upon him vide order dated 16.03.2026 below Exh.6 shall also be followed by him.

Date : 28.04.2026

(Ms. S.J. Ansari)
Addl. Sessions Judge, Wardha.

Certificate

"I affirm that the contents of the P.D.F. File order are same word for word as per original order.

S.R.Bire,
Stenographer G-1