

Order below Exh.01 in S.T. No.10/2022

1. Learned APP, P. P. Soitkar, opened the case for the prosecution by describing the charge brought against the accused. He submitted that there is sufficient evidence against the accused to prove his guilt. He further submitted that investigating officer recorded the statements of witnesses and after detail investigation submitted the charge-sheet.

2. Heard learned counsel Shri. H. Z. Kodwani for the accused. He submitted that there is no evidence to prove the guilt of the accused. He further submitted that accused is falsely involved in the case. There is no allegations about the commission of offence by this accused.

3. After perusal of the charge-sheet, it appears that prosecution in order to prove the guilt of accused relied upon the FIR, in which it is alleged that informant is a public servant, while discharging his public duty lawfully, accused has abused as well as assaulted the informant. The contents of the FIR, statements recorded under section 161 of the Cr.P.C. by the investigating officer prima-facie shows that there is sufficient material against the accused for framing the charges under section 353, 332, 504 of the IPC, therefore, I proceed to frame the charge against the accused u/s 353, 332, 504 of the IPC.

Date : 18/03/2025

(J. A. Pedgaonkar)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Wardha.