

ORDER BELOW EXH.4

(Kiran Ravindra Kamdi Vs State of Maharashtra)

1] The present application in this matter has been filed for grant of ad-interim anticipatory bail in crime no.380/2026 of Police Station Sawangi (Meghe) for the offences punishable under sections 65(e), 68, 77(a), 83 of the Maharashtra Prohibition Act.

2] Prima facie, the material before me will indicate that the applicant is the owner of the Balaji Restaurant where liquor had been found being served to the customers. Not only this, but it is also apparent that the applicant had kept a juvenile in conflict with law to work at the counter. Hence, Indian and Foreign liquor having been found in the said restaurant, it cannot be said that the applicant is in no way connected to the said offence. There is also no substance in the contention that the applicant's custody is not required for the purposes of investigation as the whole of the material has already been seized. This is because, the applicant is the main accused involved in the matter and has conveniently absconded when the raid was carried out. Wardha being a dry district, in spite of which the hotel run by the applicant was supplying Indian and Foreign liquor to his customers, I do not find any substance in the argument that the applicant has been made a scapegoat in the crime. In the result, I proceed to pass the following order.

ORDER

The ad-interim anticipatory bail application filed by Kiran Ravindra Kamdi in crime no.380/2026 registered by Police Station Sawangi (Meghe) for the offences punishable under sections 65(e), 68, 77(a), 83 of the Maharashtra Prohibition Act stands rejected.

Date : 16.05.2026

(Ms. S.J. Ansari)
Addl. Sessions Judge, Wardha.

Certificate

“I affirm that the contents of the P.D.F. File order are same word for word as per original order.

S.V. Dakhane,
Stenographer G-1