

D. W. No. 1 Govind on oath :-

C.C. No.2231/SC/2022
Exh.57/9

Further Cross-examination by Advocate Mr. M H Ramsinghani for the complainant:

16 It is true to say that EOW New Delhi had arrested me in FIR No.56/2022 dated 13.04.2022. I was arrested on 28.08.2022. It is true to say that Harman Sabarwal, Diprana Tiwari, Triloksingh and Mrityunjay Roy were arrested in the said case. Now the documents below list **Exh.80** shown to me wherein one photograph is there showing that I was arrested. It is true to say that EOW New Delhi has stated therein that I was arrested on the basis of the allegations stated therein. As the witness has admitted the said documents, it be given **Exh.81 colly** (*Learned advocate for the accused objected the same*). It is not true to say that on the basis of same allegations alike the allegations of EOW New Delhi, the present complaint is filed. I got the bail in FIR No.56/2022 on 10.07.2023. The said case is pending for trial. It is true to say that the offence of similar nature was registered against me at Chennai. It is true to say that I had given the entire amount to the complainant in the case at Chennai. It is true to say that therefore the Court acquitted me.

17 I don't know a company by name Eastern Cargo Carrier India Pvt Limited (ECC IPL). It is not true to say that ECC IPL had filed the complaint against me of the offence punishable under section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act. I do not know the said case was filed before this Court only which bears 2230/SC/2022 filed on 27.04.2022.



D. W. No. 1 Govind on oath :-

C.C. No.2231/SC/2022
Exh.57/10

Question In the said case, one cheque was for ₹ 94,50,000/- and the another for ₹ 1 crore?

Answer I had given blank cheques.

Now, the documents below list **Exh.83** shown to me. I do not know that those documents are pertaining to the case filed against me.

Learned advocate representing the complainant submits that he would require further time for cross-examination. Hence, as per their request and consent of the witness and the learned advocate for the accused further cross-examination is deferred in the interest of justice.

R.O.A.C.

Date : 29.04.2026

(Paresh V. Kulkarni)
Judicial Magistrate First Class
20th Court, Mazgaon, Mumbai.