

ORDER BELOW EXH.4

(Mangesh Chintaman Madavi Vs State of Maharashtra)

1] The present application in this matter has been filed for grant of ad-interim anticipatory bail in crime no.558/2026 registered by the Wardha (City) Police Station for the offences punishable under sections 118(1), 115(2), 352, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, (here-in-after referred as to “the BNS”) and under sections 4, 25 of Indian Arms Act 1959.

2] Heard the Advocate for the applicant. Though the application for ad-interim relief states that the applicant is innocent and that it was the informant who was creating terror in the locality on the relevant day and time by holding a knife, there is no material placed before me to support the said contention. The FIR in question prima facie implicates Amol Gedam and the present applicant in assaulting the informant i.e. Vikas Pande. No doubt that the only allegation against the applicant is of he having assaulted the informant with his fists. But, it cannot be ignored that he did not prevent Amol Gedam from assaulting the informant with a knife. In the result, I am of the opinion that without considering all the facts of the matter, it will not be appropriate to grant ad-interim anticipatory bail to the applicant. In the result, I proceed to pass the following order.

ORDER

The ad-interim anticipatory bail application filed by Mangesh Chintaman Madavi in crime no.558/2026 registered by Police Station Wardha (City) for the offences punishable under sections 118(1), 115(2), 352, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and under sections 4, 25 of Indian Arms Act, stands rejected.

Date : 16.05.2026

(Ms. S.J. Ansari)
Addl. Sessions Judge, Wardha.

Certificate

“I affirm that the contents of the P.D.F. File order are same word for word as per original order.

S.V. Dakhane,
Stenographer G-1