

**Order below Exh.01 in S.T. No.17/2024**

1. Learned APP, P. P. Soitkar, opened the case for the prosecution by describing the charge brought against the accused. He submitted that there is sufficient evidence against the accused persons to prove their guilt. He further submitted that investigating officer recorded the statements of witnesses and after detail investigation submitted the charge-sheet.
2. Heard learned counsel Shri. Prasik Thool for the accused persons. He submitted that there is no evidence to prove the guilt of the accused. He further submitted that accused are falsely involved in the case.
3. Upon perusal of the charge-sheet, it appears that prosecution in order to prove the guilt of accused relied upon the FIR, in which it is alleged that informant and sarpanch of village being public servants and were discharging their duty. The contents of the FIR, statements recorded under section 161 of the Cr.P.C. by the investigating officer prima-facie shows that there is sufficient material against the accused persons for framing the charges under section 452, 353, 332, 504, 506 r/w 34 of the IPC, therefore, I proceed to frame the charge against the accused u/s 452, 353, 332, 504, 506 r/w 34 of IPC.

Date : 24/02/2025

(J. A. Pedgaonkar)  
Additional Sessions Judge,  
Wardha.