

Typed from handwritten order

ORDER BELOW EXHIBIT 30 IN RCS. 100/2025
Anusaya Raman Sankhe & Others 1 Vs. Pragati Shital Sakhari
Gruhnirman Sanstha Limited & Others.
CNRNO.MHTH170030522025

Perused case law submitted. They deal with the settled law of amendment subject to Order 6 Rule 17 CPC and speaks of allowing amendment prior to commencement of trial. Pleadings are considered. Objection is noted. Heard Ld. Advocates for both sides. It is a settled law that allowing amendment does not mean that the veracity & truthfulness of such assertion/ denial via amendment is confirmed. Plaintiff will still have to prove the assertion made. Defendant will have an opportunity to raise defence includes bar of jurisdiction. However, trial has not commenced. Amendment refers to facts pertaining to the ongoing litigation. Hence, in order to decide the case on merit and to decide on relevant issues, subject to burden of proof *vide* provisions of law on evidence, application may be allowed. In these circumstances,

ORDER

1. Application is allowed vide Order 6 Rule 17 CPC.
2. Costs in cause.

Place: Palghar
Date: 16/03/2026

Sd/-
(Smt. P.S.G. Chalkar)
2nd Jt. Civil Judge Junior Division,
Palghar

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that, the contents of this P.D.F. file Judgment/order are same, word to word, as per the original Judgment/order.

Name of Stenographer	---	Suraj S. Saddiwal, Stenographer (Grade-3)
Court	---	2 nd Jt.CJJD & JMFC Palghar.
Date of order	---	16/03/2026
Hand Written order	---	16/03/2026
Order uploaded on	---	01/06/2026 Subject to permission/letters Outward No.RG/1611/110/2026, Hon'ble Bombay High Court. Outward No.4773/2026, Hon'ble District & Sessions Court Thane.