Bail Matter No.395/2026
FIR No.0011/2025
PS Cyber PS Central
U/s 318(4)/319 BNS
State of Delhi vs. Brijpal Singh

28.02.2026

Present: Sh. Gyan Chandra Soni, learned Addl. P.P. for State.
Sh. Rohit Yadav, Sh. Sahil Yadav and Sh. Vikas Pal, ld. Counsels for applicant/accused.
IO in person.
Status report has already been filed by IO, copy of which has already been supplied earlier.
Charge-sheet has been received.
Arguments heard from both sides. Record perused.
Put up at 4:00 pm for Order.

JOGINDER PRAKASH NAHAR

Digitally signed by JOGINDER PRAKASH NAHAR
Date: 2026.02.28 15:29:28 +0530

(Joginder Prakash Nahar)
ASJ (FTC)-1, Central District,
Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi/28.02.2026

It is 4:00 pm.
Present : As above.

The present Order shall decide regular bail application moved on behalf of applicant/accused Brijpal Singh after hearing both the parties.

Ld. counsel for the applicant/accused has submitted that co-accused Nishant was already granted bail by the ld. Trial

Court vide Order dated 16-02-2026. Applicant/accused Raman Soni was granted bail on 21/2/2026. It is submitted that on the ground of parity, this applicant/accused is also entitled for grant of bail. It is submitted that the offence is punishable for not more than seven years. It is further submitted that only an amount of Rs.49,000/- approximately was credited to the account of this applicant/accused and the amount of Rs.7.5 crores as alleged in the IO report in the span of 20 days cannot be looked into in reference to the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused was used as a Mule only and his account and mobile phone was used by other person.

Ld. APP for the State has opposed the bail on the ground that cheated amount was transferred in the bank account maintained in the name of Sandhu Dairy Farm, whose proprietor is applicant/accused Brijpal Singh. The said Axis Bank Account No.925020010752511 has been identified as one of the beneficiary account through which part of the cheated amount was received which was further layered. The registered mobile number 7404255809 linked to the said bank account is issued in the name of applicant/accused Brijpal Singh. The G-mail id sbrijpal365@gmail.com is associated with the said account is registered in the name of applicant/accused Brijpal Singh. The applicant/accused Brijpal Singh has disclosed that he had opened this account at the instance of one accused Mehul Shah, who was helping people to increase volume of banking transactions for visa purposes. As far as applicant/accused is concerned, he has handed over the Sim Card and account details to said accused Mehul Shah, soon after which transactions amount of about Rs.7.5 crores was routed through this bank account in a short period of 20 days. This bank account was frozen by the bank due to suspicious activities. The applicant/accused has not cooperated in the investigation and he has failed to provide any credible information regarding co-accused Mehul Shah.

After hearing both the parties, it is noted that the applicant/accused has admittedly allowed another co-accused Mehul Shah to use his account and he has handed over his mobile phone and bank account documents to said accused Mehul Shah. The identity of accused Mehul Shah could not be established during investigation. Applicant/accused Brijpal has submitted that he has handed over mobile phone number of co-accused Mehul Shah to the IO. Merely by handing over mobile phone of an unidentified person to the IO does not show that there is existence of such person and, therefore, in the absence of such identity, only accused Brijpal Singh was prima-facie conducting the above transactions in his bank account. Further, the Gmail and its password can be accessed by the applicant/accused from any place and with different device. Intimation of transactions is used to be sent by bank to the bank account Email during normal course of nature. Therefore, all such transactions are presumably in the knowledge of applicant/accused Brijpal Singh. Despite having such heavy transactions about Rs.7.5 crores in his account within a short period of 20 days and even and after which not reporting either to the bank or the police or income-tax authorities to take legal action against the culprits only shows prima-facie complicity of this applicant/accused in such transactions and that he was not merely acting as a Mule. Further, if the applicant/accused Brijpal Singh was taking help of an unidentified accused Mehul Shah to increase amount of banking transactions in his bank account for visa purpose then also he is doing it for the purpose of cheating of the Government authorities. The amount of alleged Rs.49,000/- approximately received in his account which belongs to the complainant was further layered into different transactions and bank accounts. Applicant/accused is prima-facie liable for allowing his bank account to be used for an illegal purpose. The transactions of about Rs.7.5 crores cannot be segregated from this case when it was done in a short period of 20 days more so when the allegations are in the nature of doing illegal transactions, cheating innocent public persons through layering of money through different bank accounts. The above transactions of huge amount is a heavy circumstance against the applicant/accused showing prima-facie inference of his culpability.

The parity of this applicant/accused with co-accused Nishant Chauhan does not exists keeping in view the volume of transactions conducted in the bank account of applicant/accused

Brijpal Singh. It is the case of prosecution that accused Nishant has received Rs.15,000 – Rs.20,000 as monthly income for allowing illegal use of his bank account whereas in this case, direct complicity of applicant/accused Brijpal Singh is seen for doing such heavy transactions in his bank account and he is not merely seen as Mule account. The bail to the accused Raman Soni was granted as he was arrested on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused and that when the multiple mobile phones, laptop, Sim Card, Sim Card Envelope, Cheque book were recovered then he was present at the spot with co-accused Priyanshu, Himanshu and accused Nishant. The applicant/accused Nishant Chauhan disclosed that he used to arrange mobile phone, Sim Card and bank account for using Cyber fraud. In the case of applicant/accused Raman Soni recovery which was effected from him was allegedly already in knowledge of the investigating agency before arrest of this accused. The exculpatory disclosure statement of co-accused cannot be taken into consideration when he is implicating another co-accused and in view of citation titled *P. Krishna Mohan Reddy Vs. State of Andhra Pradesh, SNP (Criminal) No.7532 of 2025 dated 16th May, 2025 at para no.53.* Hence, the facts and circumstances of co-accused Raman Soni are different both in law and facts. Hence, parity is held not applicable to applicant/accused Brijpal Singh with that of applicant/accused Nishant Chauhan and applicant/accused Raman Soni.

The investigation regarding layering of money and other co-accused is already undergoing who are yet to be arrested. The applicant/accused Brijpal Singh may tamper with evidence and influence the witnesses if released on bail at this stage of proceedings and he is seen as one of the main accused in the present case.

In the above facts and circumstances of the case, it is held that the applicant/accused Brijpal Singh is not entitled to bail at this stage of the proceedings. Keeping in view serious nature of offence and the nature of culpability of this accused in the present case. The present application for grant of applicant/accused Brijpal Singh is disposed-off as dismissed.

At request, copy of this Order be given dasti.

JOGINDER PRAKASH NAHAR

Digitally signed by JOGINDER PRAKASH NAHAR
Date: 2026.02.28 15:29:35 +0530

(Joginder Prakash Nahar)
ASJ (FTC)-1, Central District,
Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi/28.02.2026