

MHTH010083112018



**Order Below Exh.62 in MACP No.715/2018**

Perused the application and say filed by the opponent No.2 on the application itself. Heard both sides.

2. Present application is filed by the claimant to permit him to reopen his evidence and to lead further evidence.

3. The opponent No.2 has strongly objected the application. It has contended that matter is for argument. Sufficient opportunity has been given to the claimant to lead evidence. Now the applicant wants to fill lacuna. So application be rejected.

4. Perused record before the court. The matter is for argument. Present petition is filed for just and fair compensation for injuries suffered by the claimant, under Sec.166 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. Substantial rights of the parties are involved. So it is just and proper to give an opportunity to the claimant to reopen his evidence and to lead additional evidence. It would not cause any harm or injustice on the part of opponent if application is allowed. Hence, the order-

**ORDER**

1.	Application is allowed.
2.	Claimant is allowed to give further evidence.

Thane.  
Date : 16/01/2026.

(R.V. Mohite)  
Member, M.A.C.T., Thane.