

Spl.C.S. No.109/2023

Old No.120/2013

Mansoor vs. Sanjeet

ORDER BELOW EXH. 206

Defendant Nos.1 to 9 moved an application for setting aside 'no cross' order vide Exh.118, 194 and 198. plaintiff filed say below same exhibit.

02. Heard both sides.

03. It appears that 'no cross' order passed on 02/08/2024 and 30/08/2024. Present application is filed on 24/09/2024. Perused the Application and Say. I have gone through the record and proceeding.

04. It reveals from the record that, defendant Nos.1 to 9 appeared in view of Vakalatnama vide Exh.205. In spite of this, relief is prayed for defendant No.10, which is not justified. It further appears that defendant Nos.1 to 9 remained absent and failed to cross examine plaintiff on the date so fixed for hearing. Thus, it appears that delay has been caused on the part of defendants. Considering the reasons so stated and the old nature of the suit, following order has been passed:

ORDER

1. Application (Exh.206) is partly allowed with costs of Rs.3,000/- be paid to the plaintiffs.
2. After compliance 'no cross' order vide Exh. Nos.118, 194 and 198 shall stand set aside only against defendant Nos.1 to 9.

Wai
Date: 10.01.2025

(**Priti D. Taru.**)
Civil Judge Senior Division, Wai
Dist-Satara.

CERTIFICATE

I am affirm that the contents of this P.D.F, file Order are same, word to word, as per the original order.

Name of the Stenographer :- G.M.Kulkarni (Steno Grade-II)
Court :- Civil Judge Senior Division, Wai.
Dist. Satara.

Date :- 10.01.2025

Order signed by the
presiding officer on :- 10.01.2025

Judgment/order uploaded on :- 13.01.2025