



ORDER BELOW EXH.126 IN R.C.S. NO.3/2023

Perused the application and say. Heard both the sides. They argued in consonance of their respective application and say.

02. Plaintiffs are seeking production of documents with list. The application is contested by defendant Nos.4(a) and 4(d) on the ground that sufficient cause of delay not mentioned in the application. Therefore, they prayed to reject the application.

03. If the application is allowed, the question of admissibility and relevancy will be opened as it is. If, mere production is allowed, no prejudice would cause to the plaintiffs. The plaintiffs are at liberty to conduct cross-examination of the witness on the basis of documents. Therefore, I am of opinion that production of documents alongwith list are needs to be allowed. Hence, following order -

ORDER

- 1] Application is allowed.
- 2] Production of documents is allowed.
- 3] Costs in cause.

Date : 23.09.2025

(A. A. Pacharne)
Jt. Civil Judge Senior Division,
Wai.