

Order below Exh.39 in Special Darkhast No. 183/2023
Raju Laxman Shelke
Vs.
Competent Authority and Spl. Land Acq. Officer etc.

Read the pursis.

2. The pursis is filed at 3:30 p.m. Today, this matter was for passing orders on the applications for impounding of the arbitral award, issuance of attachment warrant, calling of details of the accounts.

3. This Court passed orders on the applications at 11:30 a.m. in the morning. Thereafter this pursis has been filed at 3:30 p.m. The contention in the pursis that the JD was only heard on the application for impounding of the award is not correct. On 25.11.2025 the Advocate for JD was heard on V.C. in morning as well as in afternoon session. The Ld. Advocate for DH was also heard. At the time of hearing, Jr. Advocate Adv. Smt. C.V. Gimavekar, for the JD No.2 was also present in the Court Hall. Arguments of both the parties were heard on all the applications. So there is no substance in the contentions in this pursis.

4. It is contented in the pursis that JD No.2 has to challenge the order passed by this Court before the Hon'ble High Court. So prayed for stay to the execution of the order of attachment warrant for further period of six weeks.

5. So far as the prayer is concerned, this is a pursis and not an application. So it cannot be considered. Hence filed.

Wai
Date: 12.12.2025

(D.B.Mane)
District Judge-2, Wai.