

MHST190003082026 IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE,



WAI

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 102 OF 2026
(Balkun Rajendra Sharma Vs. State of Mah. Through
Panchgani P.S.)
(Passed on : 04.07.2026)

**Structured framework as per Order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court
of India in Cr. Appeal No.825 of 2026 Arising out of SLP (Cri.)
No.12669 of 2025) in Zeba Khan Vs. State of U.P**

(A) CASE DETAILS

FIR No. & Date	C.R.No. 105/2026 dated 10.05.2026
Police Station District & State	Panchgani Police Station, Taluka Mahabaleshwar, District Satara, State-Maharashtra.
Section invoked	S. 69 of BNS, 2023.
Maximum Punishment prescribed	S.69: Imprisonment which may extend to 10 years and fine.

(B) CUSTODY & PROCEDURAL COMPLIANCE

Date of Arrest	06.06.2026
Total period of custody undergone	28 days

(C) STATUS OF TRIAL

Stage of proceeding (Investigation / Charge-sheet /Cognizance /	Investigation
---	---------------

	Framing of Charges / Trial	
	Total number of witnesses cited in the charge-sheet.	NA
	Number of prosecution witnesses examined	NA
(D) CRIMINAL ANTECEDENTS		
	FIR No & Police Station	NA
	Status (Pending / Acquitted / Convicted)	NA
(E) PREVIOUS BAIL APPLICATIONS		
	Court	First Bail Application
	Case No.	--
	Outcome of case	--
(F) COERCIVE PROCESSES		
	Whether any Non-Bailable Warrant was issued	NA
	Whether declared as proclaimed offender	NA

ORDER BELOW EXH.1
(Passed on : 04.07.2026)

By this application, applicant **Balkun Rajendra Sharma** has prayed for regular bail.

2] It is submitted that, applicant is arrested in Crime No. 105/2026 registered in Panchgani Police Station, for the offence punishable under Section 69 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. Applicant has alleged to have been committed sexual intercourse with the informant/victim by giving promise of marriage, of which he turned-up. In fact, the applicant is innocent and he has not committed any offence. He is falsely implicated in this case. Informant is subordinate in the same office and she has falsely implicated the applicant. The applicant has no criminal antecedent. He is working in IT field and if he is not released on bail, he will be terminated from the service and therefore, he prayed to release him on bail. Hence, this application.

3] I.O and Ld.APP filed resisted the application by filing say at **Exh.6**. According to them, offence is serious in nature and applicant has taken disadvantage of his superior post and by making influence, he has committed sexual intercourse with the informant by giving promise of marriage. If applicant is released on bail, he will interfere in the investigation and tamper the prosecution evidence. Lastly, I.O and APP prayed to reject the application.

4] Perused the application and say. Heard both sides. On

perusal of FIR, it appears that applicant has committed sexual intercourse with the informant by giving promise of marriage, for which he turned-up. FIR discloses that, applicant is superior officer of the informant. It clearly shows that, he has taken disadvantage of his post. But that apart, investigation is in progress. Charge-sheet is not yet filed. In such case, if applicant is released on bail, definitely he will contact with the informant and try to settle the case before filing charge-sheet. Moreover, he will contact with other witnesses also and possibility of tampering evidence cannot be ruled out and therefore, at this stage, he cannot be released on bail. Hence, the order.

ORDER

Application is rejected.

(Dictated and pronounced in open Court.)

Wai
Date: 04.07.2026

(B.D.Kadam)
Addl. Sessions Judge, Wai.