

**ORDER BELOW EXH.32 IN R. C. S. No. 80 OF 2021.**  
**(Ramchandra Balaso Jadhav Vs. Ninad Rajesh Shaha)**

01. This is an application moved by the defendant and accordingly, seeking permission to allow the production of documents. Perused the application and say. Heard Ld. Advocate M. A. Kazi for the defendant and Ld. Advocate V. V. Methavade for the plaintiff.

02. The defendant submits that he wants to produce office copy of the notice issued to the plaintiff dated 01.12.2020 and postal acknowledgment on the record. He further submits that it is necessary to produce these documents on the record. He prayed to allow the production of document.

03. Per contra, the plaintiff has strongly objected to the application. He submits that the contents of the application is false and vague. After completion of the evidence of the plaintiff, the defendant has moved instant application on the record at such belated stage. Hence, he prayed to reject the application.

04. I have given conscious consideration to the submission of Ld. Advocate for the defendant and the plaintiff. It is true that the defendant has not mentioned the reason of production of office copy of the notice issued to the plaintiff dated 01.12.2020 and postal acknowledgment at such belated stage. In my considered view, if production of document is allowed, no hardship will be caused to the plaintiff or there is no possibility that the plaintiff

will sustain irreparable loss which can not be compensated in terms of money. In my considered view, if production of documents are allowed, it will assist the Court to come to proper conclusion. Hence, I pass following order.

**ORDER**

1. Production of documents is allowed subject to admissible in evidence.
2. No order as to costs.

Date:07.11.2025  
Vaduj.

(Salim P. Sayyed)  
2<sup>nd</sup> Jt. Civil Judge Sr. Div., Vaduj  
Tal. Khatav, Dist. Satara.