

RCC No. 98/2023
State Vs. Sachin
Exh. 35

Prosecution Witness No. 6 for the State.
Cross examination by Adv. Shri. D. P. Dhumal for the accused-

I am having knowledge of medical jurisprudence of science. It is true to say that, a procedure regarding issuance of injury certificate is prescribed in it. Now, I am shown the certificate at Exh.36. It is true to say that, it does not bear thumb impression of the patient. It is true to say that, it also does not bear description of the alleged weapon. It is true to say that, the injuries mentioned in the certificate were not visible. It is true to say that, the certificate does not disclose anywhere as to any bleeding and external injury. It is true to say that, the patient was having complaint of chest pain. It is not true to say that, I issued the certificate as per the say of patient. It is true to say that, the x-ray was not done. It is true to say that, the injuries mentioned in the certificate are possible if the patient does hard work or he lifts heavy objects. It is not true to say that I did not find any injuries as stated in my examination in chief. It is not true to say that I have not conducted medical examination of patient and issued false certificate of her say.

Cross examination is completed.

No Re-Cross-Examination.

R.O.A.C.

Before me.

Judicial Magistrate First Class,
Wai, Satara.
Dtd. 13-03-2026.

(R.M.Bhende)
Judicial Magistrate First Class,
Wai, Satara.

CERTIFICATE

Taken before me and signed by me in presence of the accused, to whom the deposition was explained and opportunity was given to cross examine.

Dt.13-03-2026.

(R.M.Bhende)
Judicial Magistrate First Class, Wai.