



ORDER BELOW EXH.97

This is an application filed by plaintiffs to allow them to file additional evidence. Perused the application and the reply filed by defendant nos.1 to 11. Heard the learned counsel for defendant nos. 1 to 11. Despite to ample opportunities, the learned counsel for plaintiffs failed to advance his arguments over the instant application.

2. It is the contention of plaintiffs that they have already closed their evidence by filing pursis. To decide the instant suit on merit, plaintiffs want to adduce some important evidence. Hence, plaintiffs prayed to allow the instant application.

3. Per contra, defendant nos.1 to 11 have strongly oppose the instant application on the ground that what kind of evidence plaintiffs want to adduce is not mentioned in the application. Hence, they prayed for rejection of the instant application.

4. I have carefully gone through the record of the case. It is pertinent to note that vide pursis (Exh.85) plaintiffs have closed their evidence. Bare perusal of the instant application reveals that there is no mention by plaintiffs about what kind of important evidence they want to adduce. As such, the reasons mentioned in the application does not appear to be sufficient and justifiable. Thus, I am not inclined to allow the instant application. Consequently, I proceed to pass following order.

ORDER

1. The application stands rejected.
2. As the matter is old one hence, parties are directed to expedite the same.
3. Costs in cause.

Place : Phaltan.
Date : 31/10/2023

(R. D. Bhuyarkar)
CJJD