

Ex. No. 485/25
SUSHILA Vs. MCD

26.11.2025

**File taken up today as 25.11.2025 was declared as holiday
on account of Guru Teg Bahadur Martyrdom day.**

Present : Sh. Ayush Garg, Advocate for DH.
None for JD.

One cheque has been received.

On the request of Ld Counsel for DH that the main counsel is
not available today, put up for purpose fixed on **05.12.2025**.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

Ex. No. 592/25
SANTOSH Vs. MCD

26.11.2025

**File taken up today as 25.11.2025 was declared as holiday
on account of Guru Teg Bahadur Martyrdom day.**

Present : Sh. Ayush Garg, Advocate for DH.
None for JD.

On the request of Ld Counsel for DH that the main counsel is
not available today, put up for purpose fixed on **05.12.2025**.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

RCA No. 116/25

MOHD ASLAM Vs. SHAKEEL AHMED

26.11.2025

File taken up today as 25.11.2025 was declared as holiday on account of Guru Teg Bahadur Martyrdom day.

Present : Ms. Farzana, wife of appellant in person.

None for respondent.

Certified copy of the impugned judgment and decree placed on record on behalf of appellant. Record perused.

Issue notice of the appeal to both the respondents on PF and RCAD for **01.04.2026**. TCR be also summoned for the date fixed.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

Ex. No. 1225/25

VAIBHAV MITTAL AND ORS

Vs.

ALOK NANDA PROPRIETOR OF IMMARAT CONSTRUCTIONS

26.11.2025

File taken up today as 25.11.2025 was declared as holiday on account of Guru Teg Bahadur Martyrdom day.

Present : Sh. Manav Jain, Advocate for DH.

Sh. Nimit, Advocate for JD.

JD in person.

Vakalatnama filed on behalf of JD.

Time sought by Ld Counsel for JD for filing objections.
Strongly opposed by Ld Counsel for DH.

Allowed subject to cost of Rs.20,000/-.

Ld Counsel for DH seeks time to file an application on behalf of DH seeking a direction to the JD to disclose his assets.

Warrants of attachment issued against the movable assets of JD received back with report that sister in law Shashi Nanda of the JD told the bailiff that JD shall appear before the Court on date fixed i.e. today.

Issue fresh warrants of attachment against the movable properties of JD on filing PF.

DH to appear before Ld ACJ on 16.12.2025.

Report on **05.01.2026**.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

CS No. 771/23
SANJEEV CHAWLA AND ORS.
Vs.
Punjab National Bank AND ORS.

26.11.2025

File taken up today as 25.11.2025 was declared as holiday on account of Guru Teg Bahadur Martyrdom day.

Present : Sh. Shobhit Garg, Advocate for plaintiff through VC.
Ms. Kriti Krishna, Advocate for defendant no.1 through VC.
None for others.

No PW is present nor summoned for today.

Adjournment sought by Ld Counsel for plaintiff on the ground that witness is not available today since the matter was listed yesterday.

Put up for purpose fixed on **20.07.2026**.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

CS No. 276/24

AMAN AKHTAR Vs. MOHD FURQAN

26.11.2025

**File taken up today as 25.11.2025 was declared as holiday
on account of Guru Teg Bahadur Martyrdom day.**

Present : Sh. Mohd Fahad and Sh. P.K. Gutpa, Advocates for plaintiff.

Plaintiff in person.

Sh. J.A. Khan, Advocate for defendant.

**(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025**

CS No. 12771/16
TAQI MOHD. Vs. MOHD. RAZA

26.11.2025

File taken up today as 25.11.2025 was declared as holiday on account of Guru Teg Bahadur Martyrdom day.

Present : Sh. Manoj Guupta, Advocate for plaintiff through VC.
Mohd. Ashraf, S/o Plaintiff in person.
Sh. Ajay Gupta, Advocate for defendant through VC.

Two applications, one under Order 7 Rule 14 r/w Section 151 CPC and other U/S 151 CPC, both were filed by the plaintiff on 21.11.2025 which were directed to be put up with file for today.

It is submitted by Ld Counsel for plaintiff that copies of the application have been supplied to Ld Counsel for defendant. This is not disputed by Ld Counsel for defendant who states that he has no objection if both the applications are allowed.

Arguments heard on both the applications. Record perused.

By way of first application, the plaintiff has sought permission to place on record two Power of Attorneys dated 15.04.2025 and 08.07.2025 and also to examine Ali Ahmed as Attorney and witness in the present case. One GPA (photocopy) also been filed alongwith application.

By way of second application, it is submitted that there are two connected matters and the evidence is being led in CS No. 12811/2016 and copy of evidence led in the said matter should be placed in the present matter.

Contd.....

-2-

Under the facts and circumstances of the case, both the applications are allowed. It is directed that a copy of evidence recorded in CS 12811/2016 be placed in this file. Ahlmad to do the needful.

Put up with connected matter on **19.03.2026**.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

CS No. 12811/16
MOHD. RAZA Vs. TAQI MOHD.

26.11.2025

File taken up today as 25.11.2025 was declared as holiday on account of Guru Teg Bahadur Martyrdom day.

Present : Sh. Ajay Gupta, Advocate for plaintiff through VC.
Sh. Manoj Guupta, Advocate for defendant through VC.
Mohd. Ashraf, S/o defendant in person.

An application under Order 7 Rule 14 r/w Section 151 CPC and other U/S 151 CPC, was filed by the defendant on 21.11.2025 which was directed to be put up with file for today.

It is submitted by Ld Counsel for defendant that copy of the application has been supplied to Ld Counsel for plaintiff. This is not disputed by Ld Counsel for plaintiff who raised no objection if the application is allowed.

Arguments heard on the application. Record perused.

By way of the application, the plaintiff has sought permission to place on record two Power of Attorneys dated 15.04.2025 and 08.07.2025 and also to examine Ali Ahmed as Attorney and witness in the present case. One GPA photocopy also been filed alongwith application.

Under the facts and circumstances of the case, the application are allowed.

No DW is present nor summoned for today.

Contd....

-2-

On the request of Ld Counsel for defendant, put up for remaining DE, last opportunity for defendant for **19.03.2026**. Copies of affidavits of private witnesses be supplied to Ld Counsel for plaintiff at least one month in advance.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

RCA No. 134/22
INDERJEET SINGH GAMBHIR
Vs.
AMRIT PAL SINGH GAMBHIR

26.11.2025

File taken up today as 25.11.2025 was declared as holiday on account of Guru Teg Bahadur Martyrdom day.

Present : None for appellant.

Sh. Rishab Bhalla, Advocate for respondent through VC.

On the request of Ld Counsel for respondent, put up for purpose fixed on **07.04.2026**.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

RCA No. 14/23
AMRIT PAL SINGH GAMBHIR
Vs.
INDERJEET SINGH GAMBHIR

26.11.2025

File taken up today as 25.11.2025 was declared as holiday on account of Guru Teg Bahadur Martyrdom day.

Present : Sh. Rishab Bhalla, Advocate for appellant through VC.
None for respondent.

Adjournment sought by Ld Counsel for appellant.
TCR be summoned for FA for **07.04.2026**.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

CS No. 960/24

Pawan Kumar Saraswat Vs. Rakesh Kumar

26.11.2025

**File taken up today as 25.11.2025 was declared as holiday
on account of Guru Teg Bahadur Martyrdom day.**

Present : None.

Put up for purpose fixed on **31.07.2026**.

**(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025**

CS No. 761/25

Kishan Lal Vs. Jag Mohan and Ors

26.11.2025

File taken up today as 25.11.2025 was declared as holiday on account of Guru Teg Bahadur Martyrdom day.

Present : Sh. Dinesh Sharma, Advocate for defendant no. 7 through VC.

Sh. Mehak Sharma, Advocate for defendants no. 1 and 2.

Defendant no. 1 in person for defendant no. 2 also.

None for others.

Joint WS filed on behalf of defendants no. 1 & 2 with reply to the application under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 CPC and certain documents with list. Copies placed on record. Be given to Ld Counsel for plaintiff under signatures.

Ld Counsel for defendants no. 1 and 2 has also filed written statement, one counter claim, an application under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 CPC and certain documents with list for defendant no. 7 also. Copies placed on record. Be given to Ld Counsel for plaintiff under signatures.

Ahlmad is directed to register the Counter Claim as a separate suit.

WS to Counter Claim be filed within stipulated period from today.

Put up for FP on **25.03.2026**.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

CS No. 13885/16

RAJESH KUMAR Vs. TULSA ANR

26.11.2025

**File taken up today as 25.11.2025 was declared as holiday
on account of Guru Teg Bahadur Martyrdom day.**

Present : None.

Put up for purpose fixed on **06.01.2026**.

**(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025**

CS No.

26.11.2025

Present : Sh. Advocate for plaintiff.
Sh. , Advocate for defendant.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

Ex. No. 549/23

GOLD LINE JEWELS PVT LTD.

Vs.

UNIVERSAL SOLITAIRE PVT LTD.

26.11.2025

Present : Sh. Pranav, Advocate for DH through VC.

None for JD.

It is submitted by Ld Counsel for DH that the AR has left the company and he shall move application.

Put up for filing of application and FP on 05.01.2026. At this stage, date is changed to **07.01.2026** on the request of Ld Counsel for DH.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

Ex. No. 1184/23

HARI OM KUMAR GUPTA Vs. JOGINDER YADAV

26.11.2025

Present : Sh. Advocate for plaintiff.

Sh. , Advocate for defendant.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

Ex. No. 7470/24

Santosh Rai Vs. Jomoel Royish

26.11.2025

Present : Sh. Advocate for plaintiff.

Sh. , Advocate for defendant.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

MCA No. 60/24

RAMESH GHAI

Vs.

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI

26.11.2025

Present : Sh. Advocate for plaintiff.

Sh. , Advocate for defendant.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

CS No. 793/25

Sita Ram Sureka HUF Through Its Karta Vishnu Kumar Sureka

Vs.

Madhu Rohtagi

26.11.2025

Present : Sh. Advocate for plaintiff.

Sh. , Advocate for defendant.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

Ex. No. 1136/25

SHAILENDER Vs. MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI

26.11.2025

Present : Sh. Advocate for plaintiff.

Sh. , Advocate for defendant.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

Ex. No. 1137/25

KAMAL Vs. MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI

26.11.2025

Present : Sh. Advocate for plaintiff.

Sh. , Advocate for defendant.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

Ex. No. 1262/25

SATISH W/O PURAN Vs. Mcd Through Its Commissioner

26.11.2025

Present : Sh. Advocate for plaintiff.

Sh. , Advocate for defendant.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

Ex. No. 1308/25

RANI Vs. MCD

26.11.2025

Present : Sh. Advocate for plaintiff.

Sh. , Advocate for defendant.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

CS No. 1019/25

Surender Kumar Gupta Vs. SHESH RAJ PATHAK

26.11.2025

Present : Sh. Advocate for plaintiff.

Sh. , Advocate for defendant.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

Ex. No. 1649/25
ASHOK KUMAR Vs. MCD

26.11.2025

Present : Sh. Advocate for plaintiff.
Sh. , Advocate for defendant.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

CS No. 526/24

Shamayla Jamshed Vs. Epowerx Learning Technologies Pvt Ltd

26.11.2025

Present : Sh. Advocate for plaintiff.

Sh. , Advocate for defendant.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

CS No. 4286/17

PRAMOD KUMAR AGGARWAL Vs. ANIL KUMAR AGGARWAL

26.11.2025

Present : Sh. Advocate for plaintiff.

Sh. , Advocate for defendant.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

CS No. 407/19

M/S INDIA INFOMDIA PVT. LTD. Vs. DELHI JAL BOARD

26.11.2025

Present : Sh. Advocate for plaintiff.

Sh. , Advocate for defendant.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

Ex. No. 665/17

VERSHA SETHI Vs. AMR INFRASTRUCTURES LIMITED

26.11.2025

Present : Sh. Advocate for plaintiff.

Sh. , Advocate for defendant.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

Ex. No. 666/17

MANNOJ MEHTA Vs. AMR INFRASTRUCTURES LIMITED

26.11.2025

Present : Sh. Advocate for plaintiff.

Sh. , Advocate for defendant.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

CS No. 769/19

RAJIV GAMBHIR Vs. VINAY GOGIA AND ORS

26.11.2025

Present : Sh. Advocate for plaintiff.

Sh. , Advocate for defendant.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

CS No. 398/20

SUBHASH GUPTA Vs. DHARMENDER AGGARWAL

26.11.2025

Present : Sh. Advocate for plaintiff.

Sh. , Advocate for defendant.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

RCA No. 186/24

Ashwani Tandon Vs. Raj Kumar Yadav

26.11.2025

Present : Sh. Advocate for plaintiff.

Sh. , Advocate for defendant.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

CS No. 20132/16

JAI PARKASH ANAND SENIOR CITIZEN Vs. RAKESH ANAND

26.11.2025

Present : Sh. Advocate for plaintiff.

Sh. , Advocate for defendant.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

CS No. 908/2025

Ravi Kumar v. Vijender Kumar

26.11.2025

Present : Sh. Kunal Saini, Advocate for defendants no. 1 and 3
through VC.

File taken up today on application U/S 151 CPC filed by defendants no. 1 and 3 jointly seeking legible copy of the plaint and annexed documents.

Arguments heard. Record perused.

The record reveals that opportunity to file WS has already been closed for defendants no. 1 and 3 vide Order dated 17.10.2025. However, issue notice of the application to the plaintiff on PF and RCAD for date fixed.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

CS No. 849/2025

Rekha v. Gulshan

26.11.2025

Present : Sh. Arun Kumar Singh, Advocate for defendants no. 1
through VC.

File taken up today on one WS, reply to the application under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 CPC, an application for condonation of delay in filing WS and certain documents with list, all filed by defendant no.1.

Arguments heard. Record perused.

Issue notice of all the above to the plaintiff on PF and RCAD for date fixed.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

CS No.

26.11.2025

Present : Sh. Advocate for plaintiff.
Sh. , Advocate for defendant.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

CS No.

26.11.2025

Present : Sh. Advocate for plaintiff.
Sh. , Advocate for defendant.

**(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025**

CS No.

26.11.2025

Present : Sh. Advocate for plaintiff.

Sh. , Advocate for defendant.

(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025

CS No. 276/24

AMAN AKHTAR Vs. MOHD FURQAN

26.11.2025

PW-2, Sh. Qasim Jan, S/o Late Haji Sheikh Mohd Jan, Aged : 58 years,
R/o H No. Q-8/2, Al-Noor Lane, Batla House, New Delhi-110025.

On S.A.

I tender my evidence by way of affidavit Ex.PW2/A which bear my signatures at points A and B. I rely upon document already exhibited as Ex.PW-1/3 bearing my signatures at points A. I also rely upon document already exhibited as Ex.PW-1/6 bearing my signatures at point B. XXXX by Sh. J.A. Khan, Advocate for defendant.

I am residing at the above said address. (Vol. My posting is presently at Raipur, Chattisgarh). Photocopy of my Aadhar Card is Ex.PW-2/D1 (OSR). Photocopy of my I-Card is Ex.PW-2/D2 (OSR).

I have come to Delhi to depose in the present matter only. I am not a summoned witness. I came to Delhi in October end of this year. The affidavit was prepared before I came to Delhi, my brother sent it to me at Chattisgarh, I went through the affidavit. I signed the affidavit on 5th / 6th November, 2025. I signed the affidavit at 2-3 places. I do not remember if I signed any other document except the affidavit. I put my signatures on my affidavit in the Chamber of my counsel. I went to the house of the defendant about one and half years back. I do not go to his house very often.

I met the plaintiff only once when I came to Delhi recently in November, 2025. It is correct that I went to my counsel to sign my affidavit with the plaintiff.

Contd...

-2-

Q. How many properties did your father have? (Objected to by Ld Counsel for plaintiff)

(Further cross examination is deferred as I would like to go through the file before recording further cross examination)

RO & AC

**(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025**

M/S INDIA INFOMEDIA PVT. LTD. Vs. DELHI JAL BOARD

26.11.2025

PW-1, Sh. Harsimron Singh Sandhu (Recalled for cross examination in continuation of evidence dated 01.03.2025)

On S.A.

XXXX by Sh. Naresh Sharma, Ld Counsel for defendant.

It is correct that the amount of Rs.35,89,543/- was released to the plaintiff company after the deduction of TDS amount of Rs.38,015/- as per TOR/DPR and the said amount was duly accounted for by the DJB. (Vol. That was partial payment only). As per the agreement the start of work was from 15.05.2009. It is correct that as per the letter of intend dated 15.05.2009 issued by DJB to the plaintiff company, the work was to be completed by 14.05.2010. The plaintiff company completed the work. It is correct that the plaintiff company had completed the work on or before 14.05.2010. (Vol. There was some components of work which was not completed because of lack of inputs from the DJB).

Q. Please tell me what are those components which you were lacking?

Ans. There were a number of things:-

(a) there was some workshop to be held for which the dates of top Executive of DJB were not available.

(b) there were some other projects where the report was to be submitted after receiving inputs from DJB and DJB never gave inputs. Correspondence in this regard is already on record.

Q. Have you placed any document or the letter having been released by the plaintiff company to the DJB/defendant thereby stating the said fault on their part. If yes, please specify the same?

Contd.....

Ans. The document already Mark D is on record.

Q. Is it correct to say that vide letter dated 07.06.2010 written at the behest of plaintiff company, you admitted that during the meeting on 11.05.2010 in the office of DJB, the actionable activities as stated at S No. 1 to 6 of the said letter were identified as pending against your company?

Ans. It is incorrect.

Q. Please tell me by what time you did the work?

Ans. By the end of June, 2010.

Q. Is it correct to say that by June, 2010 the whole work was completed by your company in all respect?

Ans. Yes.

Q. Please tell me as to why you have stated in Para No. 14 of your affidavit that the plaintiff company continued to render services till 14.03.2011 after which the services of the plaintiff company was withdrawn?

Ans. It is because that DJB had asked us to continue for one more year but without remuneration. We had refused that in view of the heavy cost of operation upon which DJB requested us to continue for one more year for one essential component for which they agreed to pay us as per the terms of reference of the completed contract.

Q. Please tell me as to whether the said request was verbal or in writing by the defendant/DJB?

Ans. As usual DJB made the request verbally assuring that an email to this effect shall follow soon. However, after waiting for the email for nearly 10 months of operations, we gave them a notice of discontinuation of service in February, 2011.

Contd.....

Q. I put it to you that once the agreement or the contract took place between the two entities, there is no question of verbal commitment having been given by any of the parties? What have you to say?

Ans. It is incorrect.

Q. Please tell me during the intervening period of 10 months as you stated in your answer above, have you sent any letter or email to the defendant/DJB regarding the reference of the work which you have stated to be done and to be got done for the DJB without paying any remuneration to the plaintiff company? If not, please tell me why not?

Ans. Yes, we have sent emails to the DJB for the extra work and they had also paid partially for the extra work done.

Q. Please show me the documents by virtue of which the said intimation had been sent by you to the defendant/DJB and also the amount having been paid to the plaintiff company by the DJB and at what account?

Ans. The emails are already Mark A.

Q. I put it to you that this was not an extra work that you have been referring but it was the part of work. What you have to say?

Ans. It is incorrect.

(Further cross examination deferred as it is almost lunch time now)

RO & AC

**(RAKESH KUMAR SHARMA)
DJ-08 : CENTRAL DISTRICT
TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI
26.11.2025**