

47 CC NI ACT / 4165/2025
HARSH TEWARI Vs. RAVINDER TALWAR

07.01.2026

Present: Ld. Counsel for the complainant along with complainant.

Ld. Counsel for the complainant has filed the original complaint alongwith documents today. The same has been confirmed by the Reader of this court. Be taken on record.

In light of the judgment tiled as ‘Sanjabij Tari Vs Kishore S. Borkar & Anr.’ Crl. Appeal no. 1755 of 2010 passed by the Hon’ble Supreme Court on 25/09/2025, the requirement of issuing notice u/s 223 of the BNSS and hearing the proposed accused on arguments on cognizance is dispensed with.

Evidence by way of affidavit tendered by the complainant. Documents exhibited. Pre-summoning evidence stands closed.

Arguments on summoning heard.

Complaint and documents filed therewith perused.

Upon consideration of the complaint, documents filed, examination of the complainant and arguments advanced, prima facie there appears to be sufficient grounds to proceed against the accused. This court hereby takes cognizance of the offence committed u/s 138 NI Act.

Accordingly, let summons be issued upon the accused on filing of PF / RC / speed post within 15 days from today.

As per the guidelines laid down as in the case titled as **Damodar S. Prabhu vs. Sayed Babalal H, AIR 2010 (SC) 1907**, Ahlmad is directed to make a mention on the summons issued against the accused (by adding separate sheet, if required) that “accused can make an application for compounding of the offence at the first and second hearing of the case and if such an application is made, compounding may be allowed by the Court without imposing any costs on the accused.”

Let summons be also issued upon the accused through online mode upon providing of such details on affidavit by the complainant.

Put up for appearance of the accused / bail proceedings / consideration on notice on
28/04/2026.

(Surbhi Gupta Anand)
JMFC (NI Act) -08,
Central, THC, Delhi 07.01.2026