

ORDER BELOW EXH.34 IN M.A.C.P NO.281/2018
(Ramesh Chavan & Ors Vs. Maharashtra State Road Transport Ltd.)

1. In the present application, the applicant has prayed for admission of the certificates produced at Exhs. 4/6 and 4/7 in evidence.
2. Mr. H. V. Veer, learned counsel for the applicant, submitted that the applicant has examined witnesses to prove the said certificates. The documents at Exhs. 4/6 and 4/7 have already been tendered in evidence. However, they have not been formally exhibited. He, therefore, prayed that the said documents be admitted in evidence.
3. Per contra, Mr. S. D. Jagtap, learned counsel for the respondent, submitted that the respondent has already concluded his arguments. At such a belated stage, the documents cannot be accepted. Hence, he prayed for rejection of the application.
4. I have gone through the evidence of Ramesh Chavan, Chandrakant Deshmukh, and Santosh Waragade. The certificate at Exh. 4/6 has been referred to in the evidence of Chandrakant Deshmukh, whereas the certificate at Exh. 4/7 has been referred to in the evidence of Santosh Waragade. The respondent has also cross-examined the witnesses with regard to the said certificates. It appears that the certificates were not exhibited due to inadvertence. Hence, the said certificates deserve to be admitted in evidence. Appropriate exhibit numbers be assigned to the said documents.
5. The respondent is at liberty to adduce evidence in rebuttal. The respondent is also at liberty to advance further arguments, if necessary.

Therefore, no prejudice will be caused to the respondent. In the interest of justice, the application deserves to be allowed. Hence, I pass the following order:

ORDER

1. The application is allowed.
2. The certificates at Exhs. 4/6 and 4/7 are admitted in evidence.

Date: 22.04.2026
Place : Satara.

(S.R.Tamboli)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Satara.