

MHST010020592021



IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SATARA

S. C. No. 158/2021

State of Maharashtra

.. Complainant.

V/s.

Shubham Jayram Kadam

.. Accused.

Coram: Mr. S. R. Tamboli,
The Addl. Sessions Judge, Satara,

:: ORDER BELOW EXH. 111 ::

1. In the present application, the prosecution has sought permission to produce a document on record.
2. Mr. A.R. Kulkarni, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State, submitted that the receipt in question forms part of the documents collected by the Investigating Officer. It is contended that the witness has referred to the said document while deposing on the basis of the police papers. The document is material for proving the photographs. Hence, permission is sought to place the said document on record.
3. Per contra, Mr. A.A. Doiphode, learned counsel for the accused, submitted that the prosecution is attempting to fill up lacunae in its case. It is further contended that the prosecution story is not believable. Hence, he prayed for rejection of the

application.

4. Mr. M.B. Nikam, holding for Mr. Panhale, Advocate, submitted that the document is not related to his client. However, he opposed the application and prayed for its rejection.

5. It is pertinent to note that the witness, Bagade, has already deposed that he had taken photographs on his mobile phone and got them printed at Morya Photo Shop. Thus, the document sought to be produced appears to be in existence prior to the evidence and relevant for corroborating the said photographs. There is no question of fabrication of evidence at this stage. The prosecution seeks to produce an existing document which appears to be material for just adjudication of the case. Hence, in the interest of justice, the application deserves to be allowed.

ORDER

- 1) The application is allowed.
- 2) The prosecution is permitted to produce the document on record as prayed.

Place : Satara
Date : 07.04.2026.

(S.R. Tamboli)
Member MACT, Satara.