

MHST010020232023



ORDER BELOW EXH. 18 IN
M.A.C.P. No. 171/2023

Balasaheb Sarjerao Halanwar etc. ... Petitioners

Versus

Tanaji Bapu Patil etc. ... Respondents

Respondent No.2 has filed the present application for rejection setting aside “no written statement” order contending that due to non-receipt of material documents, written statement could not be filed on time.

02. When reply was called, the claimants filed reply contending that the delay caused by respondent no.2 is purposeful and deliberate, hence the application shall be rejected.

03. After having heard learned Advocate Shri. S.B.Govekar for respondent no.2 and learned Advocate Shri. H.V.Veer for claimants, it shall be mentioned that for the purpose of deciding the case on merits, it would be appropriate to permit respondent no.2 to file the written statement. Therefore, the order of “no written statement” filed against respondent no.2 has to be set aside and the written statement shall be admitted on record. Hence the order.

ORDER

1. Application (Exh.18) is allowed.
2. The order of “no written statement” passed against respondent no.2 is set aside.
3. The written statement shall be read and recorded.

(Dictated and pronounced in Open Court.)

Dt. 16.07.2026
Place : Satara

(N. M. Jamadar)
Member, M.A.C.T. Satara.