

MHST010007352026



ORDER BELOW EXH. 1 IN

CRI. MISC. APPLN. NO.37/2026

Amit Pralhad Barge ... **Applicant**

Versus

Dipali Amit Barge & ors ... **Respondent**

1. The applicant (respondent no.1) filed present application seeking condonation of delay of 23 days caused in filing proposed appeal. By way of proposed appeal, the applicant intending to challenge the interim maintenance order dt.11.02.2026 passed by learned Magistrate (Court No.4), Satara below Exh.15 in D.V. A. No. 279 of 2023.

2. The notice was issued to the respondent no.1 on her two known addresses by RPAD. The RPAD report indicates that the notice could not be served due to improper address and the address is not found on given address. Therefore, by passing order dt.01.07.2026 below Exh.12, the application set ex parte against the respondent no.1 who is the sole contesting respondent.

3. Perused the application and the documents. The applicant is intending to challenge the interim maintenance order. There is meager delay of 23 days for which the grounds are mentioned in the application. The appeal needs to be heard and decide the issue on merit. Thus, the delay can be condoned. Thus,

considering the peculiar facts, following order:-

ORDER

1. The application stands allowed with no order as to cost.
2. The delay of 23 days stands condoned.
3. The office is directed to register the appeal in accordance with law.

Date : 14.07.2026

Place : Satara

(Amit M. Shete)

Addl. Sessions Judge, Satara.