

MHST010016162024



ORDER BELOW EXH. 41 IN
SESSIONS CASE NO.59/2024

Pruthviraj Rajendra Shinde

... **Applicant**

Versus

State of Maharashtra.

... **Opponent.**

1. This application is filed seeking bail pending trial arising out of Crime bearing C.R. No. 261 of 2024 registered with Satara Taluka Police Station for the offence punishable U/sec. 302, 109, 307, 323, 504, 506 r/w 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sec.4(25) of Arms Act.

2. In short the prosecution's case is that, in the marriage of informant's son a scuffle took place. The son of informant has not tendered apology to the accused and therefore, the accused persons poured petrol over the deceased and set him on blaze. During the treatment, the deceased succumbed to burn injuries. Therefore, the report.

3. The learned Advocate for the accused, in first place claimed parity by relying upon bail orders granted by Hon'ble High Court to co-accused. The learned Advocate submitted that the accused came to be arrested on 12.06.2024 and since then he is behind the bars. The investigation has completed. Thus, prayed for grant of bail.

4. The learned APP by filing say (Exh.45) strongly opposed the application. It is contended that present accused has recorded entire incident on his cell phone. The act of present accused is thus, different than the co-accused to whom the Hon'ble High Court was pleased to grant bail. Thus, prayed for rejection of the application.

5. Perused the application, say and the orders passed by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in Criminal Bail Application Nos.3422/2025 and 3511/2025. The Hon'ble High Court pleased to grant bail to co-accused considering their role. The role attributed to present accused is more or less same as that of co-accused who are granted bail. Thus, the accused is entitled for bail on the ground of parity. The act of present accused of making shooting of the incident cannot be termed as overt act and cannot be termed more aggressive than the role attributed to co-accused. Thus, following order;

ORDER

- 1 The application is allowed.
- 2 The accused Pruthviraj Rajendra Shinde, be released on P.R. and S.B. of Rs. 25,000/- (Rs. Twenty Five Thousand only) in connection with the C.R. No. 261/2024 registered with Satara Taluka Police Station with one or two sureties of like amount on following terms and conditions;

Conditions

- (i) The accused shall not approach the informant or prosecution witnesses who are acquainted with the facts of the case.

- (ii) He shall not tamper or hamper with the prosecution witnesses and evidence in any manner.
- (iii) The accused shall not indulge in any illegal activity.

Date :- 13.01.2026.

(A.M. Shete)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Satara