

MHST01-001539-2023



**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SATARA**  
**Sessions Case No.63 of 2023**

State of Maharashtra ...Complainant

Vs.

Ravi Balwant Yadav etc. 3 ...Accused

Mr.N.D. Muke, A.P.P. for the State.

Mr.P.S. Bhoite, Advocate for applicant.

**Coram: Mr. S. R. Tamboli,**  
**The Addl. Sessions Judge, Satara.**

**:: ORDER BELOW EXH.201 ::**

In the instant application, applicant/accused No.3 prayed permission to attend the meeting of Gram Panchayat.

02. The learned Counsel for the accused No.3 submitted that accused is member of the Gram Panchayat. As per the bail order dtd.28.08.2023, accused is prohibited from making entry in Satara District. However, in order to avoid disqualification to the post of member of Gram panchayat, it is necessary for accused to attend the meeting. Hence, he submitted that he be permitted to attend the meeting as stated in the application.

03. Per contra, Mr.N.D. Muke, the Ld. A.P.P. for the State submitted that the grounds mentioned in the application are not genuine. The relatives of the deceased as well as the witnesses are resident of same village where accused resides. The possibility of

tampering of evidence and threat to life of the relatives of the deceased and witnesses cannot be ruled out. Hence, he prayed to reject the application.

04. Previously, accused was permitted to attend the meeting of Gram Panchayat. In case of his absence to the meeting, possibility of his disqualification cannot be ruled out. Hence, I think it proper to allow the application. In the result, I pass the following order.

### **ORDER**

- 1) Application is allowed.
- 2) Accused No.3 is entitled to attend the meeting of the Gram Panchayat as stated in the application.
- 3) After the meeting, he should leave the village as well as Satara District.

**Place : Satara**  
**Date- 14.08.2025.**

**(S.R.Tamboli)**  
**Addl. Sessions Judge, Satara**