

MHST01-001539-2023



IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SATARA
Sessions Case No.63 of 2023

State of Maharashtra ...Complainant

Vs.

Ravi Balwant Yadav etc. 3 ...Accused

Mr.N. D. Muke, A.P.P. for the State.
Mr.P. S. Bhoite, Advocate for applicant.

Coram: Mr. S. R. Tamboli,
The Addl. Sessions Judge, Satara,

:: ORDER BELOW EXH.187 ::

In the instant application, applicant/accused No.3 prayed permission to attend the meeting of Gram Panchayat.

02. Mr.P.S. Bhoite, the Ld. Counsel for accused No.3 submitted that while granting bail to the accused, he was directed not to enter in Satara district except dates of the case. Accused is a member of Gram Panchayat. Hence, permission be granted to attend the meeting.

03. Application is objected by Mr.N.D. Muke, the Ld. A.P.P. for the State on the ground that application is not bonafide. The relatives of the deceased as well as the witnesses are resident of same village where accused resides. Accused will tamper the evidence. Hence, he prayed to reject the application.

04. Mr.A.A. Chikane, the Ld.Counsel for informant objected application on the ground that accused can attend the meeting via Video Conferencing. Accused will bring the pressure on the witnesses. Hence, he prayed to reject the application.

05. Previously, accused was permitted to attend the meeting of Gram Panchayat. It is not case of the informant that any pressure was brought on him or any other witnesses. Hence, I think it proper to allow the accused to attend the meeting of Gram Panchayat.

06. Accordingly, accused No.3 is permitted to attend the meeting in Gram Panchayat office. He is directed not to meet any villager in village Wagholi, Tal. Koregaon, Dist. Satara. After completion of the meeting in Gram Panchayat office, he should leave the village quickly.

Place : Satara
Date- 23.06.2025.

(S.R.Tamboli)
Addl. Sessions Judge, Satara